1	Thomas L. Sansonetti	
2	Assistant Attorney General	
	Cynthia S. Huber	
3	Senior Attorney	
	General Litigation Section	
4	Martin McDermott	
5	Trial Attorney	•
	Environmental Defense Section	
6	Environment and Natural	
7	Resources Division	
7	U.S. Dept. of Justice P.O. Box 663	
8	Washington, D.C. 20044	
	(202) 514-5273	
9	(202) 305-0506/fax	•,
10	(202) 303-0300/1ax	
 0	Debra W. Yang	
11	United States Attorney	
	Suzette Clover	
12	Assistant United States Attorney	
13	Central District of California	
	300 North Los Angeles Street	
14	Los Angeles, CA 90012	
15	(213) 894-4600	
-0		
16		_
17	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
T /	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORN	IA
18	CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO,) CIVIL ACTIONS N	20
19	Plaintiff,) CV 96-8867(MRP)	UD.
19) CV 96-5205 (MRP)	
20	v.) Consolidated	
0.1		
21	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
22	Defendant)	
)	
23	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, on behalf) CONSENT DECRI	E
24	of the DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC)	
21	SUBSTANCES CONTROL,)	
25	Plaintiff,	•
26)	
26	v.)	
27	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
	Defendant.	
28	Description,	

Jan Per

141

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

26

25

27 28

A. The State of California ("State"), on behalf of the Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC"), and the City of San Bernardino ("City") filed complaints against the United States, Department of the Army ("Army") in 1996, pursuant to, inter alia, Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9607. DTSC and the City in their complaints seek, inter alia, reimbursement of costs incurred and to be incurred at the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, together with accrued interest. DTSC also seeks natural resource damages for resources under the trusteeship of DTSC. In their complaints, later consolidated by the Court, DTSC and the City alleged that the Army's World War II-era operations at the former San Bernardino Engineering Depot, commonly referred to as the former "Camp Ono," a Formerly Used Defense Site located in San Bernardino, California, caused or contributed to the groundwater contamination at the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site. The groundwater is principally contaminated with tetrachloroethylene (also known as perchloroethylene or "PCE"), trichloroethylene ("TCE"), and Freon 11 and 12. The actions filed by DTSC and the City have been stayed by this Court by orders dated December 23, 1999, October 12, 2000, May 24, 2001, November 26, 2001, July 30, 2002, March 21, 2003 and October 7, 2003 to enable these parties to resolve their claims pursuant to this Consent Decree. DTSC's case is styled State of California, on behalf of the Department of Toxic Substances Control v. United States, et al., CV 96-5205 (MRP), and the City's case is styled City of San Bernardino, Municipal Water Department v. United States, et al., CV 96-8867 (MRP).

B. The United States of America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), alleges that it has claims against

the City, which claims could be asserted in this or another action as direct claims, counterclaims or otherwise. The claims on behalf of EPA include claims for: (1) reimbursement of costs incurred or to be incurred by EPA for interim response actions at the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, Newmark Operable Unit and Muscoy Operable Unit, (respectively, "Newmark OU" and "Muscoy OU" and collectively "the Site") in San Bernardino, California, together with accrued interest; and (2) performance of studies and response work at the Site consistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300 (as amended) ("NCP"). The United States' claims also include a claim for performance of certain response work at the Source Control Operable Unit ("Source Control OU") and otherwise in connection with the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site. The Parties agree that the United States on behalf of EPA is an appropriate party plaintiff pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 19 and 24.

C. The City denies the allegations advanced against it on behalf of the Army in this litigation and the allegations that could be advanced against it in a counterclaim or otherwise on behalf of EPA as set forth in Paragraph B. The United States has denied the allegations of the City's and DTSC's complaints. The parties to this Consent Decree ("Parties") do not admit any liability arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in the complaints.

EPA Response Actions

D. On June 9, 2000, in accordance with the NCP and Section 121(f)(1)(F) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(f)(1)(F), EPA notified the State, the City, the Army and other parties regarding implementation of the interim remedial actions at the Newmark OU and the Muscoy OU and invited these parties to participate in negotiations to resolve their respective claims.

- E. In accordance with the NCP and Section 121(f)(1)(F) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(f)(1)(F), EPA notified the Department of the Interior on October 22, 2001, and the California Resources Agency, the California Department of Fish and Game, the California State Water Resources Control Board and the California Environmental Protection Agency on October 24, 2001, of these negotiations regarding the release of hazardous substances that may have resulted in injury to natural resources under federal or State trusteeship and encouraged the trustees to participate in the negotiation of this Consent Decree.
- F. Pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, EPA placed the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site on the National Priorities List ("NPL"), set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, Appendix B, by publication in the Federal Register on March 31, 1989, 54 Fed. Reg. 13296, 13301.
- G. In response to a release or a substantial threat of a release of a hazardous substance(s) at or from the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, EPA commenced a Remedial Investigation ("RI") focusing on the Newmark OU in late 1990. EPA expanded the RI to include the Muscoy OU in September 1992.
- H. EPA completed the RI and Feasibility Study ("RI/FS") pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.430, for the Newmark OU in March 1993 and the RI/FS for the Muscoy OU in December 1994.
- I. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, EPA published notice of the completion of the FS and of the Proposed Plan for interim remedial action at the Newmark OU in March 1993 in the form of a fact sheet distributed to all persons on EPA's mailing list for the Newmark Superfund Site. EPA also issued a press release on March 17, 1993 to announce the release of the Proposed Plan to the public and published notice of the availability of the

Proposed Plan and of a public meeting in the San Bernardino Sun on March 18, 1993. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the Proposed Plan for interim remedial action at the Newmark OU. EPA extended the initial 30-day (thirty-day) notice period by 45 (forty-five) days in response to requests from members of the public. The public comment period closed on May 5, 1993. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting on April 14, 1993 is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the interim remedial action at the Newmark OU.

J. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, EPA published notice of the completion of the FS and of the Proposed Plan for interim remedial action at the Muscoy OU. in December 1994 in the form of a fact sheet distributed to all persons on EPA's mailing list for the Newmark Superfund Site. EPA also issued a press release on December 16, 1994 to announce the release of the Proposed Plan to the public and published notice of the availability of the Proposed Plan and of a public meeting in the San Bernardino Sun on December 14, 1994. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the Proposed Plan for interim remedial action at the Muscoy OU. EPA extended the initial 30-day (thirty-day) notice period to more than five weeks to accommodate the holiday season; no requests for extensions were received from the public. The public comment period closed on January 29, 1995. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting on January 20, 1995 is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the interim remedial action at the Muscoy OU.

K. The decisions by EPA on the interim remedial actions to be implemented at the Site are embodied in the Newmark OU Record of Decision ("Newmark ROD"), executed on August 4, 1993, and in the Muscoy OU ROD ("Muscoy ROD"), executed on March 24, 1995

(collectively, "Interim Remedial Actions"), on both of which the State had a reasonable opportunity to review and comment and on which the State has given its concurrence. The Newmark and Muscoy RODs include EPA's explanation for any significant differences between the RODs and the Proposed Plans as well as a responsiveness summary relating to the public comments. Notices of the final plans were published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA. The Newmark ROD requires extraction of contaminated groundwater from the Newmark OU, treatment of the contaminated groundwater to meet all State and federal requirements for drinking water, and delivery of the treated groundwater to the City for distribution to the public through its potable water supply system, or in the alternative, recharge to the aquifer. The Muscoy ROD requires extraction of contaminated groundwater from the Muscoy OU, treatment of the contaminated groundwater to meet all State and federal requirements for drinking water, and delivery of the treated groundwater to the City for distribution to the public through its potable water supply system, or in the alternative, recharge to the aguifer. Construction of the Newmark OU extraction and treatment system was completed in October, 1998. Construction of the Muscoy OU extraction and treatment system is anticipated to be complete in 2004.

L. Pursuant to Section 104(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(c), EPA and DTSC have entered into State Superfund Contracts ("SSC's") for the Newmark and Muscoy OUs.

Under the SSC's, EPA provides ninety per cent (90%) of the funding necessary for implementation of the Interim Remedial Actions and the first ten years of operation and maintenance ("O&M") for the Newmark and Muscoy OUs and DTSC provides ten per cent (10%) of those costs. On September 18, 1995, EPA and the City entered into a Cooperative Agreement for the City to perform certain construction activities and the O&M for the Newmark

OU. The City currently performs O&M on the Newmark OU extraction and treatment system and accepts the treated groundwater, which complies with applicable federal and State standards and permit limits, into its potable water supply system. The costs incurred by the City to implement the work under the Cooperative Agreement have been paid by EPA (90%) and DTSC (10%). On August 6, 1996, the Cooperative Agreement was amended to include the City's performance of certain aspects of the Interim Remedial Action for the Muscoy OU, i.e., construction of the Muscoy OU extraction system, performance of the O&M on the Muscoy extraction and treatment system, and acceptance of the treated water, which complies with applicable federal and state standards and permit limits, from the Muscoy treatment system. The Muscoy OU is currently in the remedial design and construction phase. EPA currently performs the lead oversight function for the Site. The City has performed its work under the Cooperative Agreement under the oversight of EPA's assigned Remedial Project Manager.

- M. The City is a charter City under California law. As part of its duties and powers, its Municipal Water Department and its predecessors supply water to its residents. Pursuant to California law, the City is empowered to enact ordinances to protect the quality of underground water.
- N. EPA has published notice of a proposed Explanation of Significant Differences ("ESD") in a local newspaper of general circulation and sought public comment on the proposed ESD. The ESD is attached as Appendix I to this Consent Decree. The ESD provides for the imposition of institutional controls to assure that the Newmark and Muscoy treatment systems remain effective in meeting the objectives of inhibiting the migration of groundwater contamination into clean portions of the aquifer. The institutional controls to be imposed pursuant to the ESD are to protect the barrier well system established pursuant to the Newmark

and Muscoy RODs, and are an essential and integral component of the interim remedies for the Newmark and Muscoy OUs. Pursuant to the ESD, the City will adopt an ordinance requiring that the installation of new wells that might impact the barrier wells be conducted pursuant to a permit and that the applicant for any such permit demonstrate that the operations of the new well will not contribute to the migration of contaminants past the barrier wells into the uncontaminated portions of the aquifer.

O. In addition to the Newmark and Muscoy OUs, EPA has initiated a Source Control OU RI/FS for the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site. To determine the sources of the contamination, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ("USACE"), on behalf of the Army, and the City have performed substantial field investigation and the Army and the City have thereby incurred response costs. These investigations have included installing groundwater monitoring wells, soil gas sampling, soil sampling and geophysical surveys. EPA expects the Source Control OU RI/FS to help EPA determine, among other things, whether there will be further remedial action required for the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, beyond what is presently required by the Newmark and Muscoy OU RODs, the ESD and this Consent Decree.

DTSC and City Response Actions

P. In 1980, the California Department of Health Services ("DOHS") sampled water produced from certain City wells and detected contamination from chlorinated volatile organic compounds, including PCE, TCE, freon, decomposition byproducts from those compounds, and other contaminants. As a result of the DOHS sampling, contaminated wells were found in the City's Newmark wellfield. Also as a result of the DOHS sampling in 1980, a second area of contaminated wells was located in the western portion of the City. This contaminated

Q. The California Department of Health Services (which subsequently became DTSC) found that the Newmark and Muscoy plumes constituted an ongoing release of hazardous substances and an emergency threatening public health and the environment. On November 17, 1986, the California Department of Health Services issued a Determination of Imminent and Substantial Endangerment in the matter of North San Bernardino, Muscoy Hazardous Substance Release Site, which formed part of the legal basis for emergency action by the City and DTSC to undertake wellhead treatment of the water produced from the Newmark well field.

R. On October 30, 1986, DTSC contracted with the City to construct, operate and maintain four treatment systems located at the Newmark wellfield and elsewhere, and DTSC and its assigned remedial project manager directed and oversaw the City's work on the design, construction, operation and maintenance of those treatment systems. DTSC paid for the design and construction of four treatment systems and appurtenant facilities at the Newmark wellfield. The City has paid for, and continues to pay for, the operation and maintenance of these treatment systems and the City paid for and constructed appurtenant storage and distribution facilities needed to accommodate these treatment systems.

Consent Decree Response Actions

S. Subject to the City's and EPA's reservations of rights concerning the Cooperative Agreement, this Consent Decree supersedes the SSC's and the Cooperative Agreement for the Newmark and Muscoy OUs, and provides, *inter alia*, for the City to perform the O&M on the Newmark OU and Muscoy OU extraction and treatment systems and Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site-wide Monitoring, and for the City to accept the treated water from the Newmark and Muscoy OUs into the City's potable water supply, provided that the treated

. 25.

Consent Decree

water meets State and federal permit limits and other regulatory requirements.

- T. EPA and the City intend to work cooperatively to update, maintain and improve the Groundwater Model developed by EPA in connection with the Newmark and Muscoy OU RI/FS's. The Parties anticipate that the Groundwater Model will provide a valuable tool for analyzing and deciding how to address the effect of other groundwater extraction, surface water spreading, or similar systems that may affect the integrity and effectiveness of the Newmark and Muscoy Operable Units extraction and treatment systems.
- U. Based on the information presently available, EPA believes that the Work (as defined below) will be properly and promptly conducted by the City if conducted in accordance with the requirements of this Consent Decree and its appendices.
- V. Solely for the purposes of Section 113(j) of CERCLA, the Interim Remedial Actions selected by the Newmark and Muscoy OU RODs and the Work to be performed shall constitute a response action taken or ordered by the President.
- W. The Parties recognize, and the Court by entering this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith and implementation of this Consent Decree will expedite the cleanup of the Site and will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged, and Decreed:

II. JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction over the Parties.

⁷ 12

14_.

a. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaints, the Parties waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District. The City and DTSC shall not challenge the terms of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

b. The Court finds that institutional controls are an integral component of the interim remedies to be implemented at this Site. The Parties have determined to implement the institutional controls through a groundwater management and permit program described in Paragraphs 27-30 of this Consent Decree. Accordingly, the Court finds that it has jurisdiction over any challenge to the groundwater management and permit program to be implemented by the City under Paragraphs 27-30. The Court further finds that any challenge to the groundwater management and permit program or to a permit decision meets the requirements for removal under 28 U.S.C. § 1441, and shall be removable to this Court by any of the United States, DTSC or the City, or by officers of any of these entities acting in their official capacities to implement and defend the interim remedies at this Site. The Parties shall cooperate with each other in the defense of the Interim Remedial Actions, permit decisions at this Site, and the groundwater management and permit program.

III. PARTIES BOUND

- 2. This Consent Decree applies to and is binding upon DTSC and the City, and their successors, agents and assigns, and upon the United States. Any change in ownership, corporate or governmental status of the Parties including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter the Parties' responsibilities under this Consent Decree.
- 3. The City shall provide a copy of this Consent Decree to each contractor hired to perform the Work (as defined below) required by this Consent Decree and to each person

representing the City with respect to the Site or the Work and shall condition all contracts entered into hereunder upon performance of the Work in conformity with the terms of this Consent Decree. The City or its contractors shall provide written notice of this Consent Decree to all subcontractors hired to perform any portion of the Work required by this Consent Decree. The City shall nonetheless be responsible for ensuring that its contractors and subcontractors perform the Work contemplated herein in accordance with this Consent Decree. With regard to the activities undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree, each contractor and subcontractor shall be deemed to be in a contractual relationship with the Party for whom it performs any portion of the Work within the meaning of Section 107(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(b)(3).

IV. DEFINITIONS

4. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or in the appendices attached hereto and incorporated hereunder, the following definitions shall apply:

"CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601 et seq.

"City" or "City of San Bernardino" shall mean the City of San Bernardino, California, including any of its divisions, departments, agencies or other subdivisions, and any successors thereto.

"City Future Response Costs" shall mean costs incurred by the City after the date of funding the O&M and Construction Escrows, subject to the City's reservation of rights (including rights regarding the Cooperative Agreement), through the earliest of the following

dates, as may be applicable to the work in question:

- a. Final Certification of Completion under Paragraph 57.d or Final Notice of Completion under Paragraph 57.e;
- b. With respect to the Newmark OU treatment system, including O&M, and any other costs associated with the Newmark OU treatment system, fifty years after October 1, 2000, the date that the Newmark OU treatment system was determined by EPA to be operational and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2);
- c. With respect to the Muscoy OU treatment system, including O&M, and any other costs associated with the Muscoy OU treatment system, 50 (fifty) years after the Muscoy OU treatment system is determined by EPA to be operational and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2);
- d. With respect to costs for additional treatment capacity constructed to address the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site contamination, 50 (fifty) years after entry of this Consent Decree;
- e. With respect to costs for Site-wide Monitoring to be performed pursuant to this Consent Decree, 50 (fifty) years after the Muscoy OU treatment system is determined by EPA to be operational and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2); or
- f. With respect to costs associated with the DTSC/City treatment systems, system, fifty years after the date of entry of this Consent Decree.

 Such costs shall include but shall not be limited to: (1) any differential due to the change in location of City wells to accommodate the Site treatment systems; (2) the cost of power incurred due to the requirement that the Site treatment systems be operated on a 24/7 or other schedule that differs from the schedule dictated by the City's production needs; (3) the cost of land used

and property damage insurance related to the Site; (5) the cost of permits required for the Site, including, but not limited to, permits required by the Department of Health Services, the Air Quality Management District, the Regional Water Quality Control Board (NPDES permits), and any other state or local permit; and (6) the cost of any item described in Paragraph 14.d, 14.f, 14.g, 14.i or 14.j, to the extent such costs are not reimbursable or exceed the funding limits of this Consent Decree. Such City Future Response Costs shall not include any City costs related to any other site, including, but not limited to, the Norton Air Force Base Superfund Site.

for the Site treatment systems and appurtenances; (4) the cost of comprehensive general liability

"City Past Costs" shall mean costs incurred by the City through the date of funding of the O&M and Construction Escrows, not reimbursable under the Cooperative Agreement, and not otherwise reserved by the City, related to:

- a. the Newmark and Muscoy OUs; and
- b. DTSC/City treatment systems.

Such costs shall include but shall not be limited to: (1) any differential due to the change in location of City wells to accommodate the Site treatment systems; (2) the cost of power incurred due to the requirement that the Site treatment systems be operated on a 24/7 or other schedule that differs from the schedule dictated by the City's production needs; (3) the cost of land used for the Site treatment systems and appurtenances; (4) the cost of comprehensive general liability and property damage insurance related to the Site; (5) the cost of permits required for the Site, including, but not limited to, permits required by the Department of Health Services, the Air Quality Management District, the RWQCB (NPDES permits), and any other state or local permit; and (6) the cost of any item described in Paragraph 14.d, 14.f, 14.g, 14.i or 14.j, to the extent such costs are not reimbursable or exceed the funding limits of this Consent Decree. Such

Such costs shall include, but not be limited to, direct and indirect costs that DTSC incurs in reviewing or developing plans, reports, and other items pursuant to this Consent Decree, verifying the Work, otherwise implementing or overseeing this Consent Decree.

"DTSC Past Response Costs" shall mean all costs incurred by DTSC that are related to the contamination at the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site through the date of entry of this Consent Decree.

"Effective Date" shall be the effective date of this Consent Decree as provided in Paragraph 130.

"EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies thereto.

"Explanation of Significant Differences" or "ESD" shall mean the ESD executed by EPA and attached as Appendix I to this Consent Decree.

"Extraordinary Costs" shall mean costs, other than Oversight Costs, which the United States incurs, including, but not limited to: (1) the costs incurred by the United States to enforce this Consent Decree against the City (including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time); (2) the costs incurred by the United States pursuant to Paragraph 109 of Section XXI (Work Takeover); (3) the costs incurred by the United States pursuant to Section IX (Access and Institutional Controls) (including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time and any monies paid to secure access and/or to secure or implement institutional controls including, but not limited to, the amount of just compensation); (4) costs incurred by the United States pursuant to Section XV (Emergency Response); (5) Oversight Costs incurred by EPA in the event of remedy failure; or (6) the additional costs incurred by the United States in the event that EPA takes over the oversight of the O&M on the Newmark or Muscoy OU or the Site-wide Monitoring pursuant

to Paragraph 110 of this Consent Decree.

"Future Cost Account" shall mean the special account of that name to be established for the Site pursuant to Section 122(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(b)(3), and Paragraph 60.a.3 of this Consent Decree.

"Future U.S. Response Costs" shall mean, subject to the United States' reservation of rights, all costs incurred by EPA, DOJ and the Settling Federal Agencies:

- a. for the Newmark OU, through 50 (fifty) years after October 1, 2000, the date that the Newmark OU treatment system was determined by EPA to be operational and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2);
- b. for the Muscoy OU, through 50 (fifty) years after EPA has determined that the Muscoy OU is operational and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2); and
- c. for the implementation of the Site-wide Monitoring to be performed by the City pursuant to this Consent Decree, through 50 (fifty) years after EPA has determined that the Muscoy OU is operational and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2).

 Such costs shall include, but not be limited to, direct and indirect costs that the United States incurs in reviewing or developing plans, reports and other items pursuant to this Consent Decree, verifying the Work, otherwise implementing or overseeing this Consent Decree, and costs pursuant to Section VII (Remedy Review), including, but not limited to, payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs and laboratory costs. Future U.S. Response Costs exclude Extraordinary Costs.

"Groundwater Model" or "GW Model" shall mean the groundwater mathematical model of the Newmark Groundwater Superfund Site developed by EPA in connection with the Newmark RI/FS and to be further developed and updated by the City pursuant to this Consent

Decree, including all information and assumptions necessary to apply and maintain the Groundwater Model.

"Interest" (when capitalized in this Consent Decree) shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. § 9507, compounded annually on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a). The applicable rate of Interest shall be the rate in effect at the time the interest accrues. The rate of Interest is subject to change on October 1 of each year.

"Interest Earned" shall mean interest earned on amounts in any special account established pursuant to this Consent Decree, which shall be computed monthly at a rate based on the annual return on investments of the Hazardous Substance Superfund. The applicable rate of interest shall be the rate in effect at the time the interest accrues.

"Interim Remedial Actions" shall mean the interim remedies selected in the Newmark and Muscoy RODs.

"Lead Oversight Agency" shall mean EPA or DTSC, whichever has lead oversight responsibility for the Site or the applicable Work.

a. Except as set forth in subparagraphs (b) and (c) of this definition, DTSC shall be the Lead Oversight Agency for oversight of the O&M of the Work unless EPA, in its unreviewable discretion, gives notice to DTSC and the City that a proposed change in the Work or an EPA-approved schedule, or the manner in which the City is conducting the Work constitutes a fundamental change in the requirements of this Consent Decree. EPA shall be the Lead Agency for reviewing and approving any such fundamental change in the requirements of this Consent Decree or may, in its unreviewable discretion, enforce the previously approved requirements of this Consent Decree.

b. EPA shall be the Lead Oversight Agency for the development, review and approval of any workplan or other document that specifies Work to be performed by the City, which is to be submitted to EPA for approval or developed by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree, or, if applicable, the Cooperative Agreement. After approval by EPA or entry of this Consent Decree, whichever occurs last, and except as set forth in subparagraphs (a) and (c) of this definition, DTSC shall be the Lead Oversight Agency for oversight of the O&M of the Work. In the event that DTSC or the City proposes, or DTSC approves, any fundamental change in the Work or an EPA-approved schedule, or the manner in which the City is conducting the Work, DTSC shall notify EPA. EPA's concurrence shall be required before any proposed fundamental change may be implemented in the Work or an EPA-approved schedule, or the manner in which the City is conducting the Work.

c. EPA shall be the Lead Oversight Agency for (i) performance of five-year reviews pursuant to Section VII (Remedy Review); (ii) Certification of Completion or Notice of Completion pursuant to Paragraph 57; (iii) performance of any portion of the Work that EPA takes over pursuant to Paragraph 109 or otherwise performs; (iv) implementation of Section XIII (Assurance of Ability to Complete the Work); and (v) enforcement of any obligation of the City to EPA only under this Consent Decree or the Cooperative Agreement. EPA also shall be the Lead Oversight Agency in any action to enforce this Consent Decree or the SOW in the event that a change in the work being performed by the City constitutes a fundamental change to the requirements of the Consent Decree or the SOW, and that such change was made without EPA's concurrence. Without EPA concurrence, any such fundamental change shall not be implemented.

"Lead Oversight" shall mean performance of day-to-day oversight of the Work to ensure

that the City is in compliance with this Consent Decree. Lead Oversight includes, but is not limited to, primary responsibility for reviewing, developing or responding to reports and other items pursuant to this Consent Decree, verifying the Work, which may include periodically inspecting City O&M practices, directing the City in compliance-related matters, otherwise implementing or overseeing this Consent Decree, coordinating with the Support Oversight Agency, responding to public inquiries regarding the Site or the Work, and responding to conditions arising at the Site that require regulatory intervention or assistance. Lead Oversight includes enforcement authority, including but not limited to the imposition of stipulated penalties for failure to comply with this Consent Decree or the SOW.

"Municipal sewage sludge" shall mean any solid, semi-solid, or liquid residue removed during the treatment of municipal waste water or domestic sewage, and may include residue removed, all or in part, during the treatment of wastewater from manufacturing or processing operations, provided that such residue has essentially the same characteristics as residue removed during the treatment of domestic sewage.

"Municipal solid waste" shall mean household waste and solid waste collected from non-residential sources that is essentially the same as household waste. While the composition of such wastes may vary considerably, municipal solid waste generally is composed of large volumes of non-hazardous substances (e.g., yard waste, food waste, glass, and aluminum) and can contain small amounts of other wastes as typically may be accepted in RCRA Subtitle D landfills.

"National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, and any amendments thereto.

Groundwater Model, provided, however that such amount, combined with any expenditures to implement Section IX (Access and Institutional Controls) shall not exceed \$ 1,000,000 (one million) net present value ("NPV"); (iii) implementation of access and institutional controls as provided in Section IX (Access and Institutional Controls), Paragraphs 27-30, 32 and 33 of this Consent Decree, provided, however, that such amount, combined with any expenditures to develop and manage the Groundwater Model, shall not exceed \$ 1,000,000 (one million dollars) NPV; (iv) the Site-wide Monitoring; (v) related activities as specified in this Consent Decree or any of its appendices; (vi) repair or retrofit of the Newmark or Muscoy OU extraction or treatment systems required by the Lead Oversight Agency during O&M, provided that the City reserves the right to contend that any such repair or retrofit is the fault of EPA or a third party; and (vii) five year review activities requested by EPA. The O&M Escrow shall not be used by the City for any other purpose.

"Oversight Costs" shall mean all direct and indirect costs that the United States and DTSC incur in reviewing or developing plans, reports and other items pursuant to this Consent Decree, verifying the Work, otherwise implementing or overseeing this Consent Decree, and costs pursuant to Section VII (Remedy Review), including, but not limited to, payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs and laboratory costs. Oversight Costs shall not include Extraordinary Costs.

"Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by an Arabic numeral or an upper case letter.

"Parties" shall mean the United States, DTSC and the City.

"Past U. S. Response Costs" shall mean all costs that are incurred by EPA, DOJ and the Settling Federal Agencies that are related to the Newmark and Muscoy OUs up to the date each

thereto; and the Explanation of Significant Differences signed by the Regional Administrator, EPA Region 9, or his/her delegatee, and all attachments thereto. The Newmark and Muscoy OU RODs are referred to herein as the Newmark ROD and the Muscoy ROD, respectively, and are attached as Appendices A and B. The Explanation of Significant Differences is attached as Appendix I.

"Section" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a roman numeral.

"Settling Federal Agencies" shall mean the United States Department of the Army and any other federal agencies that are resolving any claims which have been or which could have been asserted against them with regard to the Site as provided in this Consent Decree, or that may have liability for the Site or the Site-wide Monitoring.

"Site" shall mean the Newmark and Muscoy OUs of the Newmark Groundwater

Contamination Superfund Site, located in the City and County of San Bernardino, California,
and depicted generally on the map attached as Appendix C. The Site does not include any other

OUs in the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site or any areas outside of the

Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site.

"Site-wide Monitoring" shall mean the monitoring program specified in the SOW for the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site.

"Source Control Operable Unit" or "Source Control OU" shall mean the operable unit for the investigation and remediation of sources of contamination in the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site.

"State" shall mean the State of California, including its agencies, departments and instrumentalities and any successor agencies, departments and instrumentalities.

"Statement of Work" or "SOW" shall mean the statement of work for implementation of

-25-

Consent Decree

the Newmark and Muscoy RODs and the Site-wide Monitoring as set forth in Appendix D to this Consent Decree and any modifications made in accordance with this Consent Decree.

"Supervising Contractor" shall mean either the City of San Bernardino Municipal Water Department or the principal contractor retained by the City to supervise and direct the implementation of the portion of the Work under this Consent Decree for which the City is responsible.

"Support Oversight Agency" shall mean EPA or DTSC, whichever has Support Oversight responsibility for the Work or some component of the Work.

"Support Oversight" shall mean performance of secondary oversight of the Work to ensure that the City is in compliance with this Consent Decree. Support Oversight includes, but is not limited to, secondary responsibility for reviewing, developing or responding to plans, reports and other items pursuant to this Consent Decree, verifying the Work, which may include periodically inspecting City O&M practices, directing the City in compliance-related matters, otherwise implementing or overseeing this Consent Decree, coordinating with the Lead Oversight Agency, responding to public inquiries regarding the Site or the Work, and responding to conditions arising at the Site that require regulatory intervention or assistance.

"United States" shall mean the United States of America, including all of its agencies, departments and instrumentalities and any successor agencies, departments and instrumentalities thereto.

"USACE" shall mean the United States Army Corps of Engineers, including its departments or instrumentalities or any successor departments or instrumentalities, which is that department of the United States Army that has been designated responsible for Formerly Used Defense Facilities.

"Waste Material" shall mean (1) any "hazardous substance" under Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14); (2) any pollutant or contaminant under Section 101(33), 42 U.S.C. § 9601(33); (3) any "solid waste" under Section 1004(27) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6903(27); and (4) any "hazardous material" under California Health & Safety Code § 25501(o).

"Work" shall mean all activities that the City is required to perform under this Consent Decree, except those required by Section XXV (Retention of Records).

V. GENERAL PROVISIONS.

5. Objectives of the Parties. The objectives of the Parties in entering into this

Consent Decree are to protect public health or welfare or the environment at the Site by the

design and implementation of response actions at the Site by the Parties, to reimburse in part the

response costs of EPA and DTSC, and to resolve the claims of the Parties against each other with

respect to the Site and the Work.

6. <u>Commitments by the Parties.</u>

- a. The City shall finance and/or perform the Work in accordance with this Consent Decree, the RODs, the ESD, the SOW, and all work plans and other plans, standards, specifications, and schedules set forth herein or developed by the City and approved by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree. The City shall complete the construction of the Muscoy Operable Unit extraction system, first using \$ 6,249,000 currently obligated by EPA for that purpose, and then using other funds, which the City may withdraw from the Construction Escrow.
- b. EPA funded the construction of the Newmark Operable Unit extraction and treatment system, and has funded the O&M of the Newmark Operable Unit up to the date of entry of this Consent Decree. EPA has obligated \$ 6,249,000 to complete the construction of the

Muscoy Operable Unit extraction and treatment system. Subject to the continued availability of this federal funding, EPA shall fund the construction of the Muscoy Operable Unit extraction and treatment system, using the currently obligated \$6,249,000. Provided, however, that the City shall fund all activities that are necessary to correct deficiencies in the portion of such construction activities performed by the City, including, but not limited to, acceptance testing that lasts more than one year. EPA and the City each reserve the right to contend that each other or a third party should be responsible for any particular cost item.

- c. The Settling Federal Agencies shall finance portions of the Work as provided in Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs). The City and the Settling Federal Agencies shall also reimburse the United States and DTSC for certain DTSC Past Response Costs, Past U.S. Response Costs and certain other response costs as provided in this Consent Decree. DTSC shall perform oversight as provided in Paragraph 15.
- 7. Compliance With Applicable Law. All activities undertaken by the Parties pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of all applicable federal and state laws and regulations. The Parties must also comply with all applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements of all federal and state environmental laws as set forth in the RODs and the SOW. The activities conducted pursuant to this Consent Decree, if approved by EPA, or, if applicable, DTSC, shall be considered to be consistent with the NCP.

8. Permits.

a. As provided in Section 121(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(e), and Section 300.400(e) of the NCP, no permit shall be required for any portion of the Work conducted entirely on-site (i.e., within the areal extent of contamination or in very close proximity to the contamination and necessary for implementation of the Work). Where any

portion of the Work to be performed by the City that is not on-site requires a federal or state permit or approval, the City shall submit timely and complete applications and take all other actions necessary to obtain all such permits or approvals. Where any state permit or approval is required, DTSC shall assist the City in any manner that is practicable to obtain such permits or approvals. Such assistance shall include coordination with other State agencies, including intervention on the City's behalf if appropriate.

- b. The City may seek relief under the provisions of Section XVIII (Force Majeure) of this Consent Decree for any delay in the performance of the Work resulting from a failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, any permit required for the Work, where the City has complied with Paragraph 8.a.
- c. This Consent Decree is not, and shall not be construed to be, a permit issued pursuant to any federal or state statute or regulation.

9. Notice to Successors-in-Title.

a. With respect to any real property owned or controlled by the City that contains stationary extraction and treatment system equipment, extraction wells, pumps, monitoring wells or other stationary equipment used as part of the Newmark or Muscoy OU, or the Site-wide Monitoring, within 15 (fifteen) days after the entry of this Consent Decree, the City shall submit to EPA for review and approval a notice to be filed with the Recorder's Office, San Bernardino County, State of California, which shall provide notice to all successors-in-title that the property is part of the Site, that EPA selected interim remedies for the Site in the Newmark ROD, executed on August 4, 1993, and in the Muscoy ROD, executed on March 24, 1995, and in the ESD, and that the Parties have entered into a Consent Decree requiring implementation of the Interim Remedial Actions. The Notice shall identify the action as being filed in the United States

b.

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

District Court for the Central District of California, the case numbers, the parties and the date this Consent Decree was entered by the Court. The City shall record the notice(s) within 10 (ten) days of EPA's approval of the notice(s). The City shall provide EPA and DTSC with a certified copy of the recorded notice(s) within 10 (ten) days of recording such notice(s). The notice need not detail any real property containing pipelines and appurtenant facilities located beneath public streets.

At least 30 (thirty) days prior to the conveyance of any interest in

real property owned or controlled by the City (other than public streets), that is referred to in the notice recorded under Paragraph 9.a., including, but not limited to, fee interests, leasehold interests, and mortgage interests, the City shall give the grantee written notice of (i) this Consent Decree, (ii) any instrument by which an interest in real property has been conveyed that confers a right of access to the Site (hereinafter referred to as "access easements") pursuant to Section IX (Access and Institutional Controls), and (iii) any instrument by which an interest in real property has been conveyed that confers a right to enforce restrictions on the use of such property (hereinafter referred to as "restrictive easements") pursuant to Section IX (Access and Institutional Controls). At least 30 (thirty) days prior to such conveyance, the City shall also give written notice to EPA and DTSC of the proposed conveyance, including the name and address of the grantee, and the date on which notice of the Consent Decree, access easements, and/or restrictive easements was given to the grantee. For the purposes of providing the notice required by this subparagraph b, the City may furnish potential grantees with a preliminary title report issued by a title company transacting business in California, provided such preliminary title report includes the information required to be conveyed by this subparagraph. The

Consent Decree

obligation to provide the notice required by this subparagraph shall terminate when the obligations of the City pursuant to this Consent Decree with respect to the subject portions of the Interim Remedial Actions are completed.

c. In the event of any such conveyance, the City's obligations under this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, its obligation to provide or secure access and institutional controls, as well as to abide by such institutional controls, pursuant to Section IX (Access and Institutional Controls) of this Consent Decree, shall continue to be met by the City. In no event shall the conveyance release or otherwise affect the liability of the City to comply with all provisions of this Consent Decree, absent the prior written consent of EPA. If the United States approves, the grantee may perform some or all of the Work under this Consent Decree.

VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK.

10. <u>Selection of Supervising Contractor</u>.

- a. All aspects of the Work to be performed by the City pursuant to Sections VI (Performance of the Work), VII (Remedy Review), VIII (Quality Assurance, Sampling and Data Analysis), and XV (Emergency Response) of this Consent Decree shall be under the direction and supervision of the Supervising Contractor, the selection of which shall be subject to disapproval by the Lead Oversight Agency after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the Support Oversight Agency.
- b. EPA has approved the City's Municipal Water Department as the City's Supervising Contractor, and DTSC concurs. If at any time after entry of this Consent Decree, the City proposes to change the Supervising Contractor, the City shall give such notice to the Lead and Support Oversight Agencies, and must obtain an

authorization to proceed from the Lead Oversight Agency, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the Support Oversight Agency, before the new Supervising Contractor performs, directs, or supervises any Work under this Consent Decree.

Contractor, the Lead Oversight Agency will notify the Parties in writing. In that event, the City shall submit to EPA and DTSC a list of contractors, including their qualifications, that would be acceptable to the City within 30 (thirty) days of receipt of the Lead Oversight Agency's disapproval of the contractor previously proposed. The Lead Oversight Agency, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the Support Oversight Agency, will provide written notice of the names of any contractor(s) that it disapproves and an authorization to proceed with respect to any of the other contractors. The City may select any contractor from that list that is not disapproved and shall notify EPA and DTSC of the name of the contractor selected within 21 (twenty-one) days of the Lead Oversight Agency's authorization to proceed.

- d. If the Lead Oversight Agency fails to provide written notice of its authorization to proceed or disapproval as provided in this Paragraph and this failure prevents the City from meeting one or more deadlines in a plan approved or developed by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree or the Cooperative Agreement, the City may seek relief under the provisions of Section XVIII (Force Majeure) hereof.
- 11. Remedial Design. EPA performed and paid for the remedial design for the Interim Remedial Action at the Newmark OU, and is performing and paying for the remedial design for the Interim Remedial Action at the Muscoy OU, including the development and implementation of Remedial Design Work Plans and Health and Safety

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Plans for field design activities which conform to the applicable Occupational Safety and Health Administration and EPA requirements including, but not limited to, 29 C.F.R. § 1910.120.

- 12. Interim Remedial Action. EPA developed and is paying for the Interim Remedial Actions for the Site. EPA has implemented the Newmark OU Interim Remedial Action Work Plan. Any work plan for implementation of the Muscoy OU Interim Remedial Action is incorporated into this Consent Decree. EPA also developed Health and Safety Plans for field activities required by the Interim Remedial Action work plans that conform to the applicable Occupational Safety and Health Administration and EPA requirements including, but not limited to, 29 C.F.R. § 1910.120. The Health and Safety Plans for field activities required by the Muscoy Remedial Action Work Plan are incorporated into this Consent Decree.
- EPA shall implement the Muscov Remedial Design Work Plan. Unless approved by EPA, the City shall not conduct physical Interim Remedial Action activities at the Site.
- Nothing in this Consent Decree shall prohibit EPA in its b. unreviewable discretion from revising the Muscoy Remedial Action Work Plan or any other work plan to be implemented in accordance with CERCLA and the NCP. However, the City's obligations, if any, to fund such revisions or perform work in accordance with such revisions shall be governed by the terms of this Consent Decree and the SOW.
- 13. The City shall implement the O&M and other Work, as more particularly set forth in Paragraph 14 of this Consent Decree (City Obligations), the RODs, the SOW and the ESD, until the Performance Standards are achieved and for so long thereafter as is

14. <u>City Obligations.</u>

.

a. City O&M Obligations

OUs (including the development of the Groundwater Model and the groundwater management program) and the Site-wide Monitoring referenced in this Consent Decree consistent with the RODs, the ESD and the SOW, and shall achieve the Performance Standards, until the earliest of (1) final Certification of Completion under Paragraph 57.d (Certification of Completion); (2) final Notice of Completion under Paragraph 57.e; (3) with respect to the Newmark OU, fifty (50) years after October 1, 2000; (4) with respect to the Muscoy OU, fifty (50) years after the Muscoy OU is determined by EPA to be operational and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2); (5) with respect to the Site-wide Monitoring, fifty (50) years after the Muscoy OU is determined by EPA to be operational and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2); and (6) with respect to the implementation of institutional controls, including but not limited to the groundwater management and permit program described in Paragraphs 27-30, fifty (50) years after entry of this Consent Decree.

(2) After O&M is completed as described in Paragraph 14.a.1, the City may decommission the extraction and treatment systems under the direction of the Lead Oversight Agency, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the Support Oversight Agency, and may fund such activities from the O&M Escrow. If the City elects to continue the operation of the extraction and treatment systems rather than decommission them after O&M is completed as described in Paragraph 14.a.1, then the

23.24.

City shall bear the expense of any such operation and maintenance and any later decommissioning of these systems out of financial resources other than the O&M Escrow or the Construction Escrow.

O&M Escrow pursuant to Paragraph 60.a of this Consent Decree, the City shall be entitled to reimbursement for O&M Escrow-covered costs from the Cooperative Agreement, provided, however, that the City shall reimburse EPA for any such amounts upon funding of the O&M Escrow. With respect to work undertaken by the City prior to entry of this Consent Decree in order to prepare the deliverable items listed on the deliverable schedule of the SOW, once the O&M Escrow is funded, the City shall be entitled to reimbursement from the O&M Escrow for such work as an allowable expense, unless such expense has been reimbursed to the City under the Cooperative Agreement and is not required to be reimbursed to EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree.

b. The City shall implement and fund the following items at its own expense: (1) except during periods of production in excess of the Maximum Routine Extraction Rates in order to comply with the Statement of Work, the differential, if any, due to the change in location of City wells to accommodate the Site treatment systems; (2) the cost of power incurred due to the requirement that the Site treatment systems be operated on a 24/7 or other schedule that differs from the schedule dictated by the City's production needs; (3) the cost of land used for the Site treatment systems and appurtenances; (4) the cost of comprehensive general liability and property damage insurance related to the Site; (5) the cost of permits required for the Site, including, but not limited to, permits required by the Department of Health Services, the Air Quality

	۱
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8 2 9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
26	
26 27 28	
28	١

Management District, the RWQCB (NPDES permits), and any other state or local permit;

(6) the cost of any item described in Paragraph 14.d, 14.f, 14.g, 14.i or 14.j. to the extent the cost of any such item is not reimbursable or exceeds the funding limits established by this Consent Decree; (7) the cost of developing, updating and maintaining the Groundwater Model and implementing the groundwater management and permit program described in Paragraphs 27-30 to the extent such costs are not reimbursable or exceed the funding limits established in this Consent Decree; and (8) the repair or retrofit of components of the Newmark or Muscoy extraction and treatment systems or any delay in acceptance testing that is the fault of the City, provided, however, that such claims must be raised in writing by the Lead or Support Oversight Agency prior to EPA's certification that the extraction system in question is operational and functional.

- c. The City shall be reimbursed for the costs of O&M (including Non-Routine O&M costs as defined in the SOW that are not reimbursed to the City by insurance), Site-wide Monitoring, work requested by EPA in support of five year reviews pursuant to Section VII, Paragraph 20, and certain costs of managing the Groundwater Model and implementing institutional controls up to the monetary limits of the O&M Escrow, including interest earned thereon; provided, however, that:
- (1) Amounts funded from the O&M Escrow to develop, update and maintain the Groundwater Model, and to implement Section IX (Access and Institutional Controls), Paragraphs 27-30, 32 and 33 collectively shall not exceed \$1,000,000 (one million dollars) NPV; and
- (2) The O&M Escrow may not be used to fund the following cost items: (a) any item described in Paragraph 14.b, except for (i) the use of DTSC-

1	
2	
, 4	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
2021222324252627	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

constructed or City-constructed treatment systems during a maintenance outage of the EPA-constructed treatment system, while the water produced by the Newmark or Muscoy extraction systems is sent to the DTSC- or City-constructed treatment systems during such an outage, to the extent that the water treated in the DTSC- or City-constructed treatment systems during such an outage is the same water that would otherwise have been treated in the EPA-constructed treatment system, in accordance with the procedures of the SOW, and (ii) for the use of DTSC- or City-constructed treatment systems as part of non-routine O&M required by the Lead Oversight Agency; (b) Extraordinary Costs, except the costs of reimbursing EPA for work performed pursuant to a Work Takeover under Paragraph 109; (c) penalties pursuant to this Consent Decree; (d) costs incurred pursuant to Paragraph 109 of this Consent Decree (Work Takeover), except the costs of reimbursing EPA for work performed pursuant to a Work Takeover under Paragraph 109 of this Consent Decree; (e) costs found to be unallowable after a finally adjudicated audit under OMB Circular A-133; (f) any cost excluded from disbursement under Paragraph 71.a., unless such cost is expressly authorized by the Cooperative Agreements, this Consent Decree or the SOW; (g) the costs of implementing Paragraphs 27-30, 32 and 33 of this Consent Decree, to the extent such costs exceed the funding limits established by this Consent Decree; (h) any cost that is not O&M of the Newmark and Muscoy OUs, implementation of Section IX of this Consent Decree (Access and Institutional Controls), Groundwater Modeling and updates, work to support Five-year Reviews or Site-wide Monitoring; and except as the Lead Oversight Agency, with the concurrence of the Support Agency, agrees otherwise (i) the repair or retrofit of components of the Newmark or Muscoy OU extraction and treatment systems that are the fault of the City, provided, however, that any such claims

must be made by the Lead or Support Oversight Agency in writing prior to EPA's certification that the extraction and treatment system in question is operational and functional.

- d. The City shall complete the construction of the Muscoy OU extraction system as specified in the Cooperative Agreement. In addition to the \$6,249,000 in funding provided under the Cooperative Agreement, the City may use money from the Construction Escrow to fund such construction, provided, however, that work performed by the City to complete the construction of the Muscoy OU extraction system shall not include costs incurred by the City to operate, maintain, repair or retrofit components of the Newmark or Muscoy OU extraction and treatment systems that were constructed by EPA.
- e. Pursuant to the Cooperative Agreement, as of the date of entry of this Consent Decree, EPA has already obligated \$6,249,000 of appropriated money to complete construction of the Muscoy extraction system. The City, as part of this settlement, will continue the construction work on the Muscoy extraction system, first using the \$6,249,000 under the Cooperative Agreement, and if that funding is exhausted before the extraction system is determined to be operational and functional, the City shall be entitled to draw down the Construction Escrow in order to complete that work.
- f. Until final Certification of Completion of the O&M as provided for in Paragraph 57.d or final Notice of Completion as provided for in Paragraph 57.e, the City shall perform any necessary construction related to the expansion of the existing extraction and treatment systems constructed by DTSC and any City-owned or other City-operated treatment plants and transmission systems to expand the City's potable water

.

 delivery capacity. A proposed schedule for such construction is attached to this Consent Decree as Appendix E. The City shall be entitled to fund the construction of such work with the Construction Escrow, but shall be responsible for any additional construction costs after the Construction Escrow is exhausted.

- g. Until final Certification of Completion of the O&M as provided for in Paragraph 57.d or final Notice of Completion as provided for in Paragraph 57.e on the Newmark and Muscoy OUs, the City shall perform the operation and maintenance of any expansion systems and any other City or DTSC extraction and treatment systems referred to in Paragraph 14.f and shall be responsible for all such costs. Provided, however, that in the maintenance outage or non-routine O&M situations referred to in Paragraph 14.c(2)(a) of this Consent Decree, the City may draw upon the O&M Escrow to pay for such costs.
- h. Until final Certification of Completion of the O&M as provided for in Paragraph 57.d or final Notice of Completion as provided for in Paragraph 57.e on the Newmark and Muscoy OUs, the City shall perform Site-wide Monitoring as specified in the SOW. The City shall be reimbursed for the costs of such monitoring up to the monetary limits of the O&M Escrow.
- i. In accordance with Section IX of this Consent Decree (Access and Institutional Controls), the City shall adopt and implement a groundwater management and permit program governing: (1) the installation of new wells in the Permit Zone (as defined in Paragraph 27.a); (2) the reconstruction of existing wells in the Permit Zone to increase the capacity of such wells; and (3) the increased spreading of water in spreading basins within the City and upgradient of the Newmark and Muscoy extraction and treatment

systems. Where not provided as part of permit requirements, the City shall secure such additional access and institutional controls, including those necessary to ensure that the Newmark and Muscoy Interim Remedial Actions' effectiveness is not compromised by increased well production or groundwater management practices such as increased spreading of water. The City shall be entitled to seek reimbursement for certain costs associated with the implementation of the institutional controls in accordance with this Paragraph from the O&M Escrow.

- j. The City shall develop, apply and maintain the Groundwater Model, and seek and use its best efforts to obtain water level and water quality data that EPA determines is necessary to develop and update the Groundwater Model. The City also shall obtain other data and conduct studies requested by EPA in support of five year reviews pursuant to Paragraph 20 of this Consent Decree. The City shall be entitled to seek reimbursement from the O&M Escrow for the costs (including consultants' and staff time) associated with these data gathering efforts and studies and for developing, applying and maintaining the Groundwater Model, up to the funding limits established by this Consent Decree.
- k. When EPA transfers responsibility for maintaining the Groundwater Model to the City in accordance with the SOW, the City shall assume the responsibility for maintaining the Groundwater Model in accordance with the schedule and specifications of the SOW.
- 1. The City and DTSC shall refrain from taking or supporting any action individually, or jointly with any other authority, that would interfere with or otherwise be inconsistent with the requirements of the Newmark and Muscoy RODs, the

ESD, the SOW and this Consent Decree.

15. DTSC/EPA Lead Oversight Agency Obligations. DTSC shall perform as the Lead Oversight Agency for the O&M of the Work performed under this Consent Decree, except as set forth herein. EPA shall perform as the Lead Oversight Agency for the Newmark and Muscoy OUs up to and including the date that EPA determines that such OU is operational and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2), or until entry of this Consent Decree, whichever occurs later, and as otherwise set forth herein.

16. <u>United States/EPA Obligations.</u>

- a. The United States, on behalf of the Settling Federal Agencies, shall make the payments described in Section XVI of this Consent Decree (Payments for Response Costs).
- b. Upon entry of this Consent Decree, the ownership of the Newmark and Muscoy extraction and treatment systems and appurtenant facilities ("such systems") shall be transferred to the City of San Bernardino Municipal Water Department by the United States. Until such transfer is made, the United States shall bear the risk of any loss or damage to such systems. After such transfer of ownership, the City shall bear the risk of any loss or damage to and shall maintain reasonable insurance coverage on such systems to protect against damage or loss of them arising from insurable risks, as provided in Section XVII (Indemnification and Insurance) of this Consent Decree.
- c. The United States shall cooperate in the defense of any claim against the United States that is subject to the indemnity described in Paragraph 74.b of this Consent Decree in accordance with the requirements of that Paragraph and pursuant to Paragraph 1.b shall cooperate in the defense of the Interim Remedial Actions, permit

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4 15

16

17

18

- 19 20
- 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

b.

17. Modification of the SOW or Related Work Plans.

- If EPA or DTSC determines that modification to the work specified in the SOW and/or in work plans developed pursuant to the SOW is necessary to achieve and maintain the Performance Standards or otherwise to carry out and maintain the effectiveness of the remedies set forth in the RODs, EPA or DTSC may require that such modification be incorporated in the SOW or such work plans. Provided, however, that a modification may only be required pursuant to this Paragraph to the extent that it is consistent with the scope of the interim remedies selected in the RODs.
- For the purposes of this Paragraph 17 and Paragraph 57.c, the "scope of the interim remedies selected in the RODs" is: the work necessary for the effective implementation of the Newmark and Muscoy OU selected interim remedies as set forth in the Newmark and Muscoy RODs, the implementation of institutional controls as provided in the ESD, and the work necessary for the effective monitoring of the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site. The "scope of the interim remedies selected in the RODs" shall include any necessary and appropriate adjustments, measures or actions to ensure the effectiveness of the Newmark and Muscoy OU extraction systems and barrier well systems, except activities that are (1) required to be documented in an amendment to a ROD under the NCP; or (2) that require the City to expand its untreated water transmission capacity.
- Provided, however, that (1) the City shall undertake Non-Routine O&M as required pursuant to this Consent Decree and the SOW, but that the total cost of such Non-Routine O&M shall not, over the life of the Work required by this Consent

Decree and the SOW, exceed \$20,000,000 (twenty million dollars) NPV if reimbursed to the City by insurance. While such insurance is in force, the City shall not be required to contribute to the costs of Non-Routine O&M beyond the amount of any applicable copayments or deductibles; and (2) for any time period for which there is no insurance, the City shall be required to contribute to the costs of Non-Routine O&M up to the following amounts. The total spent by the City that is not reimbursed by insurance for Non-Routine O&M shall not, over the life of the Work required by this Consent Decree and the SOW, exceed \$10,000,000 (ten million dollars) NPV, which may be drawn from the O&M and Construction Escrows, plus an additional amount, up to \$5,000,000 (five million dollars) NPV, representing the sum of (a) the cumulative net actual savings on O&M and construction realized by the City from the estimated annual O&M costs, and (b) the portion of cumulative net water sale proceeds to be returned for the benefit of the O&M Escrow by the City pursuant to the SOW. (The sum of (a) and (b) shall be referred to as the Contingency Account.) This limit shall be referred to as the "Uninsured Limit." Any co-pay or deductibles paid by the City under applicable insurance may be charged against this Uninsured Limit.

d. Provided further, that the City shall use its best efforts to procure insurance at commercially reasonable rates to cover the costs of Non-Routine O&M up to an aggregate of \$20,000,000 (twenty million dollars) NPV over the life of this Consent Decree. The City may determine, however, through the process set forth in the SOW, with the concurrence of the Lead and Oversight Agencies, that such insurance is unavailable at commercially reasonable rates, not cost-effective, or that such insurance is otherwise inappropriate. In the event of such a determination, the City shall not be obliged to

28

23

24

25

26

e. If the City objects to any modification determined by EPA or DTSC to be necessary pursuant to this Paragraph, the City may seek dispute resolution pursuant to Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), Paragraph 85 (Record Review). The SOW and/or related work plans shall be modified in accordance with final resolution of the dispute.

- f. The City shall implement any work required by any modifications incorporated in the SOW and/or in work plans developed pursuant to the SOW in accordance with this Paragraph.
- g. Nothing in this Paragraph shall be construed to limit EPA's or DTSC's authority to require performance of further response actions as otherwise provided in this Consent Decree.
- 18. The Parties acknowledge and agree that nothing in this Consent Decree, the SOW, or the Remedial Design or Remedial Action Work Plans constitutes a warranty or representation of any kind by EPA or DTSC that compliance with the work requirements set forth in this Consent Decree, the SOW and the Work Plans will achieve the Performance Standards. The Parties believe that the performance of the Work will help reduce the risk of public exposure to the groundwater contamination and reduce and inhibit the spread of groundwater contamination from its current locations at the Site.
- 19. The City shall, prior to any off-Site shipment of Waste Material from the Site to an out-of-state waste management facility, provide written notification to the appropriate state environmental official in the receiving facility's state and to the EPA and DTSC Project Coordinators of such shipment of Waste Material. However, this notification requirement shall not apply to any off-Site shipments when the total volume of

all such shipments will not exceed 10 (ten) cubic yards.

- a. The City shall include in the written notification the following information, where available: (1) the name and location of the facility to which the Waste Material is to be shipped; (2) the type and quantity of the Waste Material to be shipped; (3) the expected schedule for the shipment of the Waste Material; and (4) the method of transportation. The City shall notify the state in which the planned receiving facility is located of major changes in the shipment plan, such as a decision to ship the Waste Material to another facility within the same state, or to a facility in another state.
- b. The identity of the receiving facility and state will be determined by the City at least 30 (thirty) days prior to shipment. The City shall provide the information required by Paragraph 19.a as soon as practicable after the award of the contract and before the Waste Material is actually shipped.

VII. REMEDY REVIEW

- 20. Periodic Review. The City shall conduct any studies and investigations as requested by EPA, in order to permit EPA to conduct reviews of whether the Interim Remedial Actions are protective of human health and the environment, at least every 5 (five) years as required by Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), and any applicable regulations. EPA and the City will seek to avoid duplicative sampling and laboratory work, and to the extent feasible, will seek to consolidate the five-year reviews of the Newmark and Muscoy OUs.
- 21. <u>EPA Selection of Further Response Actions</u>. If EPA determines, at any time, that the Interim Remedial Actions are not protective of human health and the environment, EPA may select further response actions for the Site in accordance with the

22.

3 4 5

1

2

6 7

8

9 10

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25 26

27

28

113(k)(2) or 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9613(k)(2), 9617, the public, will be provided with an opportunity to comment on any further response actions proposed by EPA as a result of the review conducted pursuant to Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), and to submit written comments for the record during the comment period.

Opportunity To Comment. The Parties and, if required by Sections

VIII. QUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, AND DATA ANALYSIS

Samples taken within the Newmark and Muscoy treatment systems for 23. ongoing process control purposes and for the purpose of complying with Department of Health Services permits or equivalent state authorizations shall comply with the procedures, including QA/QC procedures, referenced in the permits and any additional procedures required pursuant to the SOW. The City shall use quality assurance, quality control, and chain of custody procedures for all other treatability, design, compliance and monitoring samples in accordance with "EPA Requirements for Quality Assurance Project Plans for Environmental Data Operation," (EPA QA/R5); "Preparing Perfect Project Plans," (EPA /600/9-88/087), and subsequent amendments to such guidelines. Amended guidelines shall apply only to procedures conducted after such notification. Prior to the commencement of any monitoring project under this Consent Decree, the City shall submit to EPA for approval, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, a Quality Assurance Project Plan ("QAPP") that is consistent with the SOW, the NCP and applicable guidance documents. If relevant to the proceeding, the Parties agree that validated sampling data generated in accordance with the OAPP(s) and reviewed and approved by EPA shall be admissible as evidence, without objection, in any proceeding

-46-

under this Consent Decree. The City shall ensure that EPA and DTSC personnel and their authorized representatives are allowed access at reasonable times to all laboratories utilized by the City in implementing this Consent Decree. In addition, the City shall ensure that such laboratories shall analyze all samples submitted by the Lead Oversight Agency pursuant to the QAPP for quality assurance monitoring. The City shall ensure that the laboratories they utilize for the analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Consent Decree perform all analyses according to accepted EPA methods. Accepted EPA methods consist of those methods which are documented in the "Contract Lab Program Statement" of Work for Inorganic Analysis" and the "Contract Lab Program Statement of Work for Organic Analysis," dated February 1988, and any amendments made or applicable thereto during the course of the implementation of this Consent Decree. The City shall ensure that all laboratories the City uses for analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Consent Decree participate in an EPA or EPA-equivalent QA/QC program. The City shall ensure that all field methodologies utilized in collecting samples for subsequent analysis pursuant to this Consent Decree will be conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in the QAPP approved by EPA.

24. Upon request, the City shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by EPA and DTSC or their authorized representatives. Unless shorter notice is agreed by EPA, the City shall notify EPA and DTSC not less than 28 (twenty-eight) days in advance of any sample collection activity, other than ongoing process control sampling and sampling for the purpose of complying with Department of Health Services permits, as to which the City shall provide such notice to EPA and DTSC as specified in the SOW. In addition, EPA and DTSC shall have the right to take any additional samples that EPA or

DTSC deems necessary. Upon request, EPA and DTSC shall allow the City to take split or duplicate samples of any samples they take as part of their oversight of the City implementation of the Work.

- 25. The City shall submit to EPA and DTSC each 2 (two) copies of the results of all sampling and/or tests or other data obtained or generated by or on behalf of the City with respect to the Site and/or the implementation of this Consent Decree unless EPA or DTSC agrees otherwise.
- 26. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and DTSC hereby retain all of their information gathering and inspection authorities and rights, including enforcement actions related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA and any other applicable statutes or regulations.

IX. ACCESS AND INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS.

- 27. <u>Groundwater Management and Permit Programs.</u> In order to ensure the effectiveness and integrity of the Interim Remedial Actions, and to implement the ESD, the City shall, within 30 (thirty) days of entry of this Consent Decree:
- a. institute a groundwater management program whereby the City shall monitor and, where warranted by the City's best professional judgment, and, if available, the Groundwater Model, in consultation with EPA and DTSC, take appropriate actions to prevent or mitigate (1) the installation and expansion of production wellfields and spreading basins and the re-equipping of existing wells if such wells are located within the City limits in the zone which may affect the effectiveness and integrity of the Interim Remedial Actions ("Permit Zone"), as determined by EPA. If the City objects to EPA's determination of the Permit Zone, the City may seek dispute resolution pursuant to Section

XIX (Dispute Resolution), Paragraph 85 (Record Review); (2) the increase of the annual volume of water spread in the Waterman Canyon, Devil's Canyon, and Badger Canyon spreading basins; and (3) the construction of any new spreading basins within City limits located upgradient of the Newmark and Muscoy treatment systems;

- b. initiate the City legislative process for approval and adoption of a groundwater management program consistent with the requirements of Paragraphs 27.c, 27.d and 28 that requires specific, advance written approval from the City (which approval shall be based on the City's best professional judgment in consultation with EPA and DTSC) in order to (1) install new wells or to reconstruct existing wells in a manner which increases their capacity if such wells are located within the Permit Zone; (2) increase the annual volume of water spread in the Waterman Canyon, Devil's Canyon, and Badger Canyon spreading basin; or (3) construct any new spreading basins with the City limits located upgradient of the Newmark and Muscoy treatment systems;
- c. submit to EPA a plan proposing to define the Permit Zone and for a well permit program to require applicants for permits to drill new wells or to reconstruct existing wells to increase production capacity to demonstrate and certify, using the Groundwater Model, that the proposed new or reconstructed well, at its maximum production, will not interfere with or adversely affect the integrity of the Newmark and Muscoy extraction and treatment systems, will not increase the likelihood that contaminants will migrate past or around the barrier wells that are part of those systems, and will not otherwise interfere with the performance of the Interim Remedial Actions; and
 - d. submit to EPA a plan for a permit program to regulate the spreading

of water in the spreading basins located within City limits that are upgradient of the Newmark and Muscoy extraction and treatment systems. This program shall address, at a minimum, the spreading basins located at Devil's Canyon, Waterman Canyon and Badger Canyon, and any expansion or replacement of these basins, and any additional basins constructed before the completion of the Work provided for in this Consent Decree. The regulation of spreading activities shall be directed to assuring that any spreading of water in these basins shall not interfere with or adversely affect the integrity of the Newmark and Muscoy Interim Remedial Actions. The permit program will accommodate the use of these basins for flood control purposes in order to protect public safety and to assure proper operation of the City's supply wells and of all treatment systems.

Upon approval by EPA pursuant to Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions), the City shall implement the permit program.

- 28. In addition to the above-described conditions on permit issuance, the City shall condition the issuance of any permit issued under the permit programs described in this Section on the grant of a right for EPA, DTSC and the City, including their contractors and representatives, (1) of access at reasonable times to the wells or spreading basins or related areas for the purpose of verifying compliance with the permit; and (2) upon reasonable notice to inspect and copy documents and records of the operations of the permitted facilities in order to verify compliance with the permit. Nothing in this Paragraph shall limit EPA's or DTSC's respective statutory and regulatory authorities, including, but not limited to, the authority to obtain access to real property.
- 29. During the period, if any, after adoption of the permit program and before the completion of the adaptation of the Groundwater Model for the permitting program,

the City may, with the written concurrence of the Lead Oversight Agency, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the Support Oversight Agency, issue a permit allowing the re-equipping or installation of a well on the basis of best professional judgment, based on available evidence satisfactory to the Lead and Oversight Agencies and the City, that the proposed re-equipping or installation of the well will not have an adverse effect on the Interim Remedial Actions. During this period, the City also shall continue to implement the groundwater management program described in Paragraph 27.a. Once the groundwater management and permit programs specified in Paragraphs 27.b, c and d, and Paragraph 28 are implemented, the groundwater management program described in Paragraph 27.a may be terminated.

30. The permit programs described in Paragraphs 27 and 28 shall require that, simultaneous with the filing of a permit application or certification, the permit applicant shall provide EPA and DTSC with copies of any such permit application or certification, together with supporting documentation. EPA and DTSC shall also be provided with the City's proposed permit decision and any modeling or other information on which it is based. After receipt of the application or certification and proposed permit decision and all supporting documentation, the Lead and Support Oversight Agencies shall have a minimum of 30 (thirty) days in which to comment to the City in writing upon any such application or certification and the proposed decision, but in no circumstances shall a failure to comment within 30 (thirty) days of receipt of such information, or any other time period be deemed to be concurrence on the part of the Lead and/or Support Agency. If the Lead or Support Oversight Agency objects to a permit application, certification, proposed decision, or to the modeling work or other documentation on which a proposed permit

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
.7	
8	
8 9 10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
19	
20	
21	•
22	
23	
24	
25	,
26	5
27	7
28	3

decision is based, the Lead and/or Support Oversight Agency, as the case may be, and the City shall consult for up to 60 (sixty) days in order to resolve any material differences between or among them over such matters. The City shall not issue a permit over the unresolved objections of the Lead or Support Oversight Agency.

- 31. The City shall defend, indemnify, save and hold harmless the United States and DTSC for any claims that result from the institution and/or implementation of the groundwater management or permitting programs as provided in Paragraph 74.b.
- 32. If the Site, or any other property where access and/or land/water use restrictions (other than those provided in the permit program in Paragraphs 27-30) are needed to implement this Consent Decree, is owned or controlled by any of the Parties, such Parties shall:
- a. commencing on the date of lodging of this Consent Decree, provide EPA and DTSC, and their representatives, including their contractors, with access at all reasonable times to the Site, or such other property, for the purpose of conducting any activity related to this Consent Decree including, but not limited to, the following activities:
 - (1) Monitoring the Work;
 - (2) Verifying any data or information submitted to the United States or the State;
 - (3) Conducting investigations relating to contamination at or near the Site;
 - (4) Obtaining samples;
 - (5) Assessing the need for, planning, or implementing additional

response actions at or near the Site;

- (6) Implementing the Work pursuant to the conditions set forth in this Consent Decree;
- (7) Inspecting and copying records, operating logs, contracts, or other documents maintained or generated by the Parties or their agents, consistent with Section XXIV (Access to Information);
- (8) Assessing the Parties' compliance with this Consent Decree; and
- (9) Determining whether the Site or other property is being used in a manner that is prohibited or restricted, or that may need to be prohibited or restricted, by or pursuant to this Consent Decree;
- b. Commencing on the date of lodging of this Consent Decree, refrain from using the Site, or such other property, in any manner that would interfere with or adversely affect the integrity or protectiveness of the remedial measures to be implemented pursuant to this Consent Decree. Such restrictions may include, but are not limited to, refraining from installing or operating production wellfields or spreading basins in a manner that would interfere with the effectiveness of the Newmark or Muscoy OU extraction and treatment systems.
- c. Within 90 (ninety) days of EPA's written request specifying the property, restrictions, access or easements sought, execute and record in the Recorder's Office of San Bernardino County, State of California, an easement, running with the land, that (i) grants a right of access for the purpose of conducting any activity related to this Consent Decree including, but not limited to, those activities listed in Paragraphs 32.a,

33.a, 33.c of this Consent Decree, and (ii) grants the right to enforce the land/water use restrictions listed in Paragraphs 32.b, 33.c of this Consent Decree, or other restrictions that EPA determines are necessary to implement, ensure non-interference with, or ensure the protectiveness of the remedial measures to be performed pursuant to this Consent Decree. Such Parties shall grant the access rights and the rights to enforce the land/water use restrictions to one or more of the following persons: (i) the United States and its representatives, (ii) DTSC and its representatives, (iii) the City and its representatives, as applicable, and/or (iv) other appropriate grantees. Such Parties shall, within 45 (forty-five) days of entry of this Consent Decree, submit to EPA for review and approval with respect to such property:

- (1) A draft easement, in substantially the form attached hereto as Appendix F, that is enforceable under the laws of the State of California, free and clear of all prior liens and encumbrances (except as approved by EPA), and acceptable under the Attorney General's Title Regulations promulgated pursuant to 40 U.S.C. § 255; and
- with the U.S. Department of Justice Title Standards (2001) (the "Standards").

 Within 15 (fifteen) days of EPA's approval and acceptance of the easement, such Parties shall update the title search and, if it is determined that nothing has occurred since the effective date of the commitment or report to affect the title adversely, record the easement with the Recorder's Office of San Bernardino County. Within 30 (thirty) days of recording the easement, such Parties shall provide EPA with final title evidence acceptable under the Standards, and a conformed copy of the original recorded easement showing the clerk's

recording stamps. The City shall not be obliged to record easements relating to water pipelines under public streets.

- 33. If the Site, or any other property where access and/or land/water use restrictions are needed to implement this Consent Decree (other than those provided in the permit program in Paragraphs 27-30), is owned or controlled by persons other than any of the Parties, the City shall upon written request from EPA specifying the property, restrictions, access or easements sought, use best efforts to secure from such persons:
- a. an agreement to provide access thereto for the City, as well as for the United States on behalf of EPA, and DTSC, as well as their representatives (including contractors), for the purpose of conducting any activity related to this Consent Decree including, but not limited to, those activities listed in Paragraph 32.a of this Consent Decree;
- b. an agreement, enforceable by the City, the United States and DTSC, to abide by the obligations and restrictions established by Paragraphs 32.b and 32.c of this Consent Decree, or that are otherwise necessary to implement, ensure non-interference with, or ensure the protectiveness of the remedial measures to be performed pursuant to this Consent Decree; and
- c. if EPA so requests, the execution and recordation in the Recorder's Office of San Bernardino County, State of California, of an easement, running with the land, that (i) grants a right of access for the purpose of conducting any activity related to this Consent Decree including, but not limited to, those activities listed in Paragraph 32.a of this Consent Decree, and (ii) grants the right to enforce the land/water use restrictions listed in Paragraphs 32.b and 32.c of this Consent Decree, or other restrictions that EPA

determines are necessary to implement, ensure non-interference with, or ensure the protectiveness of the remedial measures to be performed pursuant to this Consent Decree. The access rights and/or rights to enforce land/water use restrictions shall be granted to one or more of the following persons: (i) the United States and its representatives, (ii) DTSC and its representatives, (iii) the City and its representatives, as applicable, and/or (iv) other appropriate grantees. Within 45 (forty-five) days of entry of this Consent Decree, the Party shall submit to EPA for review and approval with respect to such property:

(1) a draft easement, in substantially the form attached hereto as Appendix F, that is enforceable under the laws of the State of California, free and clear of all prior liens and encumbrances (except as approved by EPA), and acceptable under the Attorney General's Title Regulations promulgated pursuant to 40 U.S.C. § 255; and

(2) a current title commitment or report prepared in accordance with the Standards.

Within 15 (fifteen) days of EPA's approval and acceptance of the easement, the City shall

update the title search and, if it is determined that nothing has occurred since the effective

recorded with the Recorder's Office of San Bernardino County. Within 30 (thirty) days of

acceptable under the Standards, and a conformed copy of the original recorded easement

showing the clerk's recording stamps. The City shall not be obliged to record easements

date of the commitment or report to affect the title adversely, the easement shall be

the recording of the easement, the City shall provide EPA with final title evidence

1,7

for water pipelines under public streets.

For purposes of Paragraphs 32 and 33 of this Consent Decree, "best efforts" 34. includes the payment of reasonable sums of money by the City in consideration of access, access easements, land/water use restrictions, and/or restrictive easements, except that the City shall not be required to pay permittees under Paragraphs 32 and 33 or the County of San Bernardino for such matters if the Lead Oversight Agency determines that such payments are not required. If any access or land/water use restriction agreements required by Paragraphs 32.a, 32.b or 32.c of this Consent Decree are not obtained within 45 (fortyfive) days of the date of entry of this Consent Decree, or EPA request, if applicable, or any access easements or restrictive easements required by Paragraph 33 of this Consent Decree are not submitted to EPA in draft form within 45 (forty-five) days of the date of EPA's request for such easements, the City shall promptly notify the United States and DTSC in writing, and shall include in that notification a summary of the steps that the City has taken to attempt to comply with Paragraph 33 of this Consent Decree. The United States or DTSC may, as they deem appropriate, assist the City in obtaining access or land/water use restrictions, either in the form of contractual agreements or in the form of easements running with the land. The City shall reimburse the United States or DTSC in accordance with the procedures in Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs), Paragraph 62.e, for all costs incurred, direct or indirect, by the United States or DTSC in obtaining such access and/or land/water use restrictions including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time and the amount of monetary consideration paid or just compensation.

35. If EPA determines that, in addition to the City groundwater management and permit programs referred to in Paragraphs 27-30, land/water use restrictions in the form of state or local laws, regulations, ordinances or other governmental controls are

28

controls selected in the ESD, ensure the integrity and protectiveness thereof, or ensure non-interference therewith, the City and DTSC shall use their best efforts to cooperate with EPA's efforts to secure such governmental controls. For the purposes of this Paragraph, "best efforts" shall not include the payment of sums of money to any other governmental entity in consideration of such governmental controls or the indemnification of the United States for actions taken to secure such additional controls.

needed to implement the interim remedies selected in the RODs or the institutional

36. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and DTSC retain all of their access authorities and rights, as well as all of their rights to require land/water use restrictions, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA and any other applicable statute or regulation.

X. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

37. In addition to any other requirement of this Consent Decree, the City shall submit to EPA and DTSC 3 (three) copies of written progress reports that: (a) describe the actions which have been taken toward achieving compliance with this Consent Decree during the previous month or other reporting period specified in this Paragraph ("Reporting Period"); (b) include a summary of all results of sampling and tests and all other data received or generated by the City or its contractors or agents in the previous Reporting Period; (c) identify all work plans, plans and other deliverables required by this Consent Decree completed and submitted during the previous Reporting Period; (d) describe all actions, including, but not limited to, data collection and implementation of work plans, which are scheduled for the next six weeks, if the Reporting Period is one month, or for the following Reporting Period, if the Reporting Period is a quarter- or half-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
22 23

year, and provide other information relating to the progress of the Work; (e) include information regarding percentage of completion, unresolved delays encountered or anticipated that may affect the future schedule for implementation of the Work, and a description of efforts made to mitigate those delays or anticipated delays; (f) include any modifications to the work plans or other schedules that the City has proposed to or that have been approved by the Lead Oversight_Agency; and (g) describe all activities undertaken in support of the Community Relations Plan during the previous Reporting Period and those to be undertaken in the next six weeks, if the Reporting Period is one month, or during the following Reporting Period, if the Reporting Period is a quarter- or half-year. For the purposes of this Paragraph, data shall be considered "received or generated" after all QA/QC procedures required under this Consent Decree have been completed for such data and the City has physically (including electronically) received the data together with OA/OC documentation for such data. All data including control samples data and their OA/OC documentation shall be reported to the Lead or Support Oversight Agency as detailed in the SOW.

The City shall submit these progress reports to EPA and DTSC according to the following schedule; provided that the Lead Oversight Agency with the concurrence of the Support Oversight Agency may establish a different schedule or require more frequent reporting.

- a. By the last day of the month following the preceding month, for 2 (two) years after the Effective Date;
- b. By the last day of the month following the preceding quarter, for the following five years (i.e. April 10, July 10, October 10 and January 10); and

28

26

்

c. By the last day of the month following the preceding half-year, for the remaining years of Work (i.e. January 10, July 10).

If requested by EPA or DTSC, the City shall also provide briefings for EPA and DTSC to discuss the progress of the Work. Such briefings may be by conference call if permitted by the Lead Oversight Agency.

- 38. The City shall notify EPA and DTSC of any change in the schedule described in the progress report for the performance of any activity, including, but not limited to, data collection and implementation of work plans, no later than 7 (seven) days prior to the performance of the activity.
- 39. Upon the occurrence of any event during performance of the Work that the City is required to report pursuant to Section 103 of CERCLA or Section 304 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act ("EPCRA"), the City shall within 24 (twenty-four) hours of the onset of such event orally notify the EPA Project Coordinator or the Alternate EPA Project Coordinator (in the event of the unavailability of the EPA Project Coordinator), and, in the event that neither the EPA Project Coordinator or Alternate EPA Project Coordinator is available, the Emergency Response Section, Region 9, United States Environmental Protection Agency. The City shall also notify the DTSC Project Coordinator within the same time period. These reporting requirements are in addition to the reporting required by CERCLA Section 103 or EPCRA Section 304.
- 40. Within 20 (twenty) days of the onset of such an event, the City shall furnish to EPA and DTSC a written report, signed by the City's Project Coordinator, setting forth the events which occurred and the measures taken, and to be taken, in response thereto. Within 30 (thirty) days of the conclusion of such an event, the City shall submit a report

setting forth all actions taken in response thereto.

- 41. The City shall submit 2 (two) copies of all plans, reports, and data required by the SOW or any approved plans to the Lead and Support Oversight Agencies in accordance with the schedules set forth in such plans.
- 42. All reports and other documents submitted by the City to the Lead and Support Oversight Agencies (other than the progress reports referred to above) which purport to document compliance with the terms of this Consent Decree shall be signed by authorized representatives of the City.

XI. APPROVAL OF PLANS AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS

- 43. After review of any plan, report or other item which is required to be submitted for approval pursuant to this Consent Decree, the Lead Oversight Agency, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the Support Oversight Agency, shall:

 (a) approve, in whole or in part, the submission; (b) approve the submission upon specified conditions; (c) modify the submission to cure the deficiencies; (d) disapprove, in whole or in part, the submission, directing that the City modify the submission; or (e) any combination of the above. However, the Lead Oversight Agency shall not modify a submission without first providing the City at least one notice of deficiency and an opportunity to cure within 30 (thirty) days, or within another period selected by the Lead Oversight Agency, except where to do so would cause serious disruption to the Work or where previous submission(s) have been disapproved due to material defects and the deficiencies in the submission under consideration indicate a bad faith lack of effort to submit an acceptable deliverable.
 - 44. In the event of approval, approval upon conditions, or modification by the

Lead Oversight Agency, as applicable, pursuant to Paragraph 43 or 46, the City shall proceed to take any action required by the plan, report, or other item, as approved or modified by the Lead Oversight Agency, subject only to its right to invoke the Dispute Resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) with respect to the modifications or conditions made by the Lead Oversight Agency. In the event that the Lead Oversight Agency modifies the submission to cure the deficiencies pursuant to Paragraph 43 or 46 and the submission has a material defect, EPA and DTSC retain their right to seek stipulated penalties, as provided in Section XX (Stipulated Penalties).

45. Resubmission of Plans.

- a. Upon receipt of a notice of disapproval pursuant to Paragraph 43, the City shall, within 30 (thirty) days or such longer time as specified by the Lead Oversight Agency in such notice, correct the deficiencies and resubmit the plan, report, or other item for approval. Any stipulated penalties applicable to the submission, as provided in Section XX (Stipulated Penalties), shall accrue during the 30-day (thirty-day) period or otherwise specified period but shall not be payable unless the resubmission is disapproved or modified due to a material defect as provided in Paragraph 47.
- b. Notwithstanding the receipt of a notice of disapproval pursuant to Paragraph 43, the City shall proceed, at the direction of the Lead Oversight Agency, to take any action required by any non-deficient portion of the submission. Implementation of any non-deficient portion of a submission shall not relieve the City of any liability for stipulated penalties under Section XX (Stipulated Penalties).
- 46. In the event that a resubmitted plan, report or other item, or portion thereof, is disapproved by the Lead Oversight Agency, the Lead Oversight Agency may again

.

require the City to correct the deficiencies, in accordance with the preceding Paragraphs.

The Lead Oversight Agency also retains the right to modify or develop the plan, report or other item. The City shall implement any such plan, report, or item as modified or developed by the Lead Oversight Agency, subject only to its right to invoke the procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution).

- 47. If upon resubmission, a plan, report, or item is disapproved or modified by the Lead Oversight Agency due to a material defect, the City shall be deemed to have failed to submit such plan, report, or item timely and adequately unless the City invokes the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) and the Lead Oversight Agency's action is overturned pursuant to that Section. The provisions of Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) and Section XX (Stipulated Penalties) shall govern the implementation of the Work and accrual and payment of any stipulated penalties during Dispute Resolution. If the Lead Oversight Agency's disapproval or modification is upheld, stipulated penalties shall accrue for such violation from the date on which the initial submission was originally required, as provided in Section XX (Stipulated Penalties).
- 48. All plans, reports, and other items required to be submitted to the Lead Oversight Agency under this Consent Decree shall, upon approval or modification by the Lead Oversight Agency, be enforceable under this Consent Decree. In the event the Lead Oversight Agency approves or modifies a portion of a plan, report, or other item required to be submitted under this Consent Decree, the approved or modified portion shall be enforceable under this Consent Decree.

XII. PROJECT MANAGERS

49. Within 20 (twenty) days of lodging this Consent Decree, the City, DTSC

and EPA will notify each other, in writing, of the name, address and telephone number of their respective designated Project Coordinators and Alternate Project Coordinators, unless such designation and notification has been made prior to entry of this Consent Decree. If a Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator initially designated is changed, the identity of the successor will be given to the other Parties at least 5 (five) working days before the changes occur, unless impracticable, but in no event later than the actual day the change is made. The City's Project Coordinators shall be subject to disapproval by EPA and shall have the technical expertise sufficient to adequately oversee all aspects of the Work. The City's Project Coordinators may include the Manager and Deputy General Manager of the City's Municipal Water Department, but shall not be City or non-City employees who are attorneys for the City. He or she may assign other representatives, including contractors, to serve as Site representatives for oversight of performance of daily operations.

50. The United States or DTSC may designate other representatives, including, but not limited to, EPA and DTSC employees, and federal and DTSC contractors and consultants, to observe and monitor the progress of any activity undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree. EPA's Project Coordinator and Alternate Project Coordinator shall have the authority lawfully vested in a Remedial Project Manager ("RPM") and an On-Scene Coordinator ("OSC") by the NCP, 40 C.F.R. Part 300. In addition, EPA's Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator shall have authority, consistent with the NCP, to halt any Work required by this Consent Decree and to take any necessary response action when s/he determines that conditions at the Site constitute an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment due to

release or threatened release of Waste Material.

51. The Parties' Project Coordinators will meet, at a minimum, on a monthly basis or other basis as determined by the Lead Oversight Agency.

XIII. ASSURANCE OF ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK

- 52. Within 60 (sixty) days of entry of this Consent Decree, or within 10 (ten) days of the United States' payment of the amounts provided in Section XVI of this Consent Decree (Payments of Response Costs), whichever is later, the City shall establish and maintain financial security for the O&M and the Site-wide Monitoring in the form of the O&M Escrow, and for the construction the City has agreed to perform in the form of the Construction Escrow, using the funds provided by the United States.
- 53. With respect to the other work that the City agrees in this Consent Decree to perform, including but not limited to payment of DTSC's oversight costs, as described in Paragraph 14, within 30 (thirty) days of entry of this Consent Decree, the City shall establish and maintain financial security in the amount of \$ 3 million (three million dollars) in one or more of the following forms:
 - a. A surety bond guaranteeing performance of the work;
- b. One or more irrevocable letters of credit equaling the total estimated cost of the work;
- c. A demonstration that the City satisfies the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f); or
- d. Another method proposed by the City and approved by EPA. Such method may include a program in which the City shall adopt water rates, through procedures compliant with applicable California law, that assure that the City has

sufficient revenue from water rate-payers to pay for the estimated costs in each upcoming City fiscal year of the following items:

- (1) All required debt service on the Municipal Water Department's financial obligations, including bonds, required by bond covenants or similar undertakings to be serviced by the Municipal Water Department's water rate revenue;
- (2) All operating and maintenance expenses for the City and DTSC treatment systems, including but not limited to electrical costs, labor costs, cost of supplies, materials, and outside vendor costs to operate and maintain such treatment systems, and departmental overhead, and any anticipated Oversight Costs; and
- (3) All other projected operating and capital expenses for the Municipal Water Department, other than those lawfully subject to reimbursement under the O&M Escrow, the Construction Escrow, or under other lawful and identified sources of reimbursement.

Under such a program, the City shall annually certify to EPA and DTSC that the water rates are sufficient to pay for all such obligations. Such certification shall include documentation of the debts and expenses in Paragraph 53.d and the calculation of revenues. If the City is unable to certify that the water rates are sufficient, the City shall initiate appropriate rate-making proceedings to assure adequate funds are available to pay for those of the City's obligations under this Consent Decree that are not subject to reimbursement by the O&M or Construction Escrows or under other lawful and identified sources of reimbursement, or provide to EPA another form of approved financial assurance as described in this Paragraph.

54. In the event that EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and

Consent Decree

-66-

comment by DTSC, determines at any time that the financial assurances provided pursuant to this Section are inadequate, the City shall, within 60 (sixty) days of receipt of notice of EPA's determination, obtain and present to EPA for approval one of the other forms of financial assurance listed in the preceding Paragraph of this Consent Decree. The City's inability to demonstrate financial ability to complete the Work or the work described in the preceding Paragraph shall not excuse performance of any activities required under this Consent Decree.

- 55. If the City can show that the estimated cost to complete the remaining work under Paragraph 53 has diminished below the amount set forth in Paragraph 53 after entry of this Consent Decree, the City may, on any anniversary date of entry of this Consent Decree, or at any other time agreed to by EPA in its unreviewable discretion, seek to reduce the amount of the financial security provided under this Section to the estimated cost of the remaining work to be performed. The City shall submit a proposal for such reduction to the Lead Oversight Agency, in accordance with the requirements of this Section, and may reduce the amount of the security upon approval by the Lead Oversight Agency with the concurrence of the Support Oversight Agency. In the event of a dispute, the City may reduce the amount of the security in accordance with the final administrative or judicial decision resolving the dispute.
- 56. The City may change the form of financial assurance provided under this Section at any time, upon notice to and approval by EPA, provided that the new form of assurance meets the requirements of this Section. In the event of a dispute, the City may change the form of the financial assurance only in accordance with the final administrative or judicial decision resolving the dispute.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

57. Completion of the Work

a. EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, may require the City, upon 30 (thirty) days' notice, to initiate the Certification of Completion process for an OU or Site-wide Monitoring if EPA believes that the Work has been fully performed for that OU or Site-wide Monitoring.

Within 90 (ninety) days after the City concludes that the Work for (1) the Newmark OU, (2) the Muscoy OU, or (3) the Site-wide Monitoring has been fully performed, or within 120 (one hundred and twenty) days after EPA requires initiation of the Certification of Completion process pursuant to Paragraph 57.a, the City shall schedule and conduct a pre-certification inspection for each such phase of the Work. EPA, DTSC and USACE shall be invited to attend. Unless certified or terminated earlier by EPA, each such phase of the Work will be completed after the City has performed for (1) fifty years after October 1, 2000, with respect to O&M of the Newmark OU, (2) 50 (fifty) years after the Muscoy OU is determined by EPA to be operational and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2), with respect to O&M of the Muscoy OU, and (3) 50 (fifty) years after the Muscoy OU is determined by EPA to be operational and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2), with respect to the Site-wide Monitoring. If any EPA ROD requires performance of such activities for longer than the times specified in this Paragraph, the City's obligations under this Consent Decree shall be complete after the City has performed for the times specified in this Paragraph. If any EPA ROD provides that these activities are complete or may be ceased in lesser time frames than are specified in this Paragraph, the City may apply for a Certification of Completion of each phase of

c.

To the best of my knowledge, after thorough investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Where the City has initiated the Certification of Completion process

pursuant to Paragraph 57.b, if, after the pre-certification inspection, the City believes that

the Work or relevant phase of the Work has been fully performed, the City shall submit a

written report by a registered professional engineer stating that the subject Work has been

initiated the Certification of Completion process pursuant to Paragraph 57.a, after the pre-

certification inspection, the City shall submit a written report by a registered professional

engineer stating whether the City believes that the Work or relevant phase of the Work has

been fully performed and completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Consent

Decree. Such reports shall contain the following statement, signed by a responsible

completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Consent Decree. If EPA has

If, after review of the written report, EPA, after reasonable opportunity to review and comment by DTSC, determines that any portion of the Work has not been completed in accordance with this Consent Decree, EPA will notify the City in writing of the activities that must be undertaken by the City pursuant to this Consent Decree to complete the Work. Provided, however, that EPA may only require the City to perform such activities pursuant to this Paragraph to the extent that such activities are consistent with the "scope of the interim remedies selected in the RODs and the ESD," as that term is defined in

government official:

Paragraph 17. EPA will set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities consistent with this Consent Decree and the SOW or require the City to submit a schedule to EPA for approval pursuant to Section XI (EPA Approval of Plans and Other Submissions). The City shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the specifications and schedules established therein, subject to its right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution).

- d. If EPA concludes, based on the initial or any subsequent request for Certification of Completion by the City, and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, that the Work or a phase of the Work has been performed in accordance with this Consent Decree, EPA will so notify the City in writing (Certification of Completion).
- e. If EPA otherwise concludes, and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, that the Work or a phase of the Work has been performed in accordance with this Consent Decree, EPA will so notify the City in writing (Notice of Completion). EPA may make such notification notwithstanding whether the City has initiated or EPA has required the City to initiate the Certification of Completion process.

XV. EMERGENCY RESPONSE

58. In the event of any action or occurrence during the performance of the Work which causes or threatens a release of Waste Material from the Site that constitutes an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment, the City shall, subject to Paragraph 59, immediately take all appropriate action to prevent, abate, or minimize such release or threat of release, and shall

immediately notify the EPA's Project Coordinator, or, if the Project Coordinator is unavailable, EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator, and DTSC's Project Coordinator. If neither of the EPA personnel is available, the City shall notify the EPA Emergency Response Unit, Region 9. The City shall take such actions in consultation with EPA's Project Coordinator, or other available authorized EPA or DTSC official and in accordance with all applicable provisions of the Health and Safety Plans, the Contingency Plans, and any other applicable plans or documents developed pursuant to the SOW. In the event that the City fails to take appropriate response action as required by this Section, and EPA or another department of the United States, and/or DTSC takes such action instead, the City shall reimburse the United States and DTSC for all costs of the response action not inconsistent with the NCP pursuant to Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs), Paragraphs 62.e and/or 65. The City may use funds from the O&M Escrow to make such reimbursement.

59. Nothing in the preceding Paragraph or in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to limit any authority of the United States, or DTSC, (a) to take all appropriate action to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Site, or (b) to direct or order such action, or seek an order from the Court, to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Site, subject to Section XXI (Covenants Not to Sue by United States and DTSC).

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

2728

60. Payments by Settling Federal Agencies for Past and Future Response Costs.

a. Payments by United States on Behalf of Settling Federal Agencies

- (1) Immediately upon approval by the Court of this Consent Decree, the City will provide electronic funds transfer information sufficient to allow the United States to make the payments provided in this Paragraph.
- The United States, on behalf of the Settling Federal **(2)** Agencies, shall pay the amount of \$59,000,000 (fifty-nine million dollars) into the O&M Escrow within 90 (ninety) days after the Effective Date or upon establishment of the O&M Escrow, whichever is later. The amount paid into the O&M Escrow shall be retained and used by the City in accordance with Paragraph 14 of this Consent Decree. Any amount remaining in the O&M Escrow after the earlier of (a) the expiration of all time limits described in Paragraph 14.a.1(b)-(e); (b) the final Certification of Completion pursuant to Section XIV (Certification or Notice of Completion), Paragraph 57.d; or (c) the final Notice of Completion by EPA pursuant to Paragraph 57.e; shall be disbursed to the City and to the Future Cost Account on a 50/50 basis. Until such time as the United States funds the O&M Escrow, the City shall be entitled to seek reimbursement for O&M Escrowcovered costs from the Cooperative Agreement; provided, however, that the City shall reimburse EPA for any such disbursements during such time upon funding of the O&M Escrow.
 - (3) The United States, on behalf of the Settling Federal

Agencies, shall pay to EPA the amount of \$6,500,000 (six and one-half million dollars) within 90 (ninety) days after the Effective Date. Amounts paid to EPA in accordance with this Paragraph shall be deposited into the Future Cost Account and shall be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Site, or transferred by EPA to another special account for the Site, or to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.

- (4) The United States, on behalf of the Settling Federal Agencies, shall pay to DTSC the amount of \$3,000,000 (three million dollars) within 90 (ninety) days after the Effective Date.
- Agencies, shall pay into the Construction Escrow the amount of \$10,000,000 (ten million dollars) within 90 (ninety) days after the Effective Date or upon establishment of the Construction Escrow, whichever is later. The amount paid to the Construction Escrow shall be (i) retained and used by the City to pay for construction of additional City treatment plants and directly related transmission systems to expand the City's ability to provide potable water to its residents as set forth in Appendix G to this Consent Decree; and (ii) to complete construction of the Muscoy OU extraction system. Any amount remaining in the Construction Escrow after the earlier of the last Certification of Completion pursuant to Section XIV (Certification or Notice of Completion) or the last Notice of Completion by EPA pursuant to Paragraph 57.e shall be disbursed to the City and to the Future Cost Account on a 50/50 basis.

(6) Except for up to \$1,000,000 one million dollars NPV, which may be used by the City to implement the requirements of Paragraphs 32 and 33, and to develop, update and maintain the Groundwater Model, in accordance with the City's obligations under Paragraph 14.c.(1), no funds paid by or on behalf of the Settling Federal Agencies to the O&M or Construction Escrows pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be used to pay attorneys' fees, expert witness costs, or other litigation costs or fees.

b. The Settling Federal Agencies' obligations under this Consent Decree shall be satisfied upon such payments by the United States, on behalf of the Settling Federal Agencies, provided in Paragraph 60.a. If the required payments are not made within 90 (ninety) days after the Effective Date or as otherwise provided in this Paragraph, EPA and the Department of Justice shall resolve the issue within 30 (thirty) days in accordance with the letter agreement dated December 28, 1998. Payment of such funds shall not be considered a fine, penalty or monetary sanction. If a required payment for the Settling Federal Agencies is not made within 90 (ninety) days of entry of this Consent Decree, then the unpaid balance shall accrue Interest from the date 90 (ninety) days after the date of entry until the date the City, DTSC or EPA, as the case may be, actually receives the federal payment.

c. The City's audit responsibilities for use of the Escrow Funds shall be governed by OMB Circular A-133. The City shall cause the use of the Escrow Funds to be audited annually as a major program within the meaning of, and according to the standards and procedures of, OMB Circular A-133 or a comparable, minimum standard in any

successor document. That audit shall assure, among other things, that the money is invested as provided in the attached guaranteed investment contract (Appendix G), and that the proceeds of that investment and the principal sum are spent for the permitted purposes under this Consent Decree. A separate report concerning such expenditures and their compliance with this Consent Decree shall be prepared annually by the auditors and provided to the City, and the Lead and Support Oversight Agencies.

- d. The United States' payments to DTSC shall be made in accordance with the procedures in Paragraph 65 or in accordance with Electronic Funds Transfer instructions provided by DTSC.
- 61. Anti-Deficiency Act The Parties to this Consent Decree recognize and acknowledge that the payment obligations of the United States pursuant to this Consent Decree can only be paid from appropriated funds legally available for such purpose.

 Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be interpreted or construed as a commitment or requirement that the United States obligate or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 1301, 1341, or any other applicable provision of law.

62. Payment by the City for Extraordinary Costs and Other Payments

a. The City shall pay to the United States all Extraordinary Costs and other payments required by this Consent Decree. On a periodic basis the United States will send the City a bill requiring payment of Extraordinary Costs that includes a SCORES (which stands for "Superfund Cost Organization and Recovery Enhancement System") or other regionally-prepared standard cost summary that includes direct and indirect costs incurred by EPA and its contractors for Future U.S. Response Costs and Extraordinary

Costs. In addition to the cost summary, EPA shall provide with each bill an explanation of its allocation of costs between Future U.S. Response Costs and Extraordinary Costs or other payments required by the bill. The City shall make all payments identified by EPA as Extraordinary Costs or other payments required by the bill within 60 (sixty) days of the City's receipt of each bill requiring payment, except as otherwise provided in Paragraphs 66 and 109.

- b. EPA has already obligated \$ 6,249,000 of appropriated money under the Cooperative Agreement for the City to complete the construction of the Muscoy extraction system, as that City commitment is defined in Paragraph 14.e of this Consent Decree. In the event EPA pays the City more than \$6,249,000 under the Cooperative Agreement for the City to construct the Muscoy extraction system, the City shall reimburse EPA such additional amount within thirty (30) days of the City's receipt of a bill requiring payment of such costs. EPA shall not seek reimbursement of such amounts until after the Construction Escrow has been funded.
- c. In the event that the City has sought and been reimbursed by EPA pursuant to the Cooperative Agreement for O&M on the Newmark or Muscoy OU or the Site-wide Monitoring, the City shall reimburse EPA for such sums previously paid to the City pursuant to the Cooperative Agreement. The City has sought and been reimbursed by EPA for O&M on the Newmark OU since October 1, 2000. EPA shall not seek reimbursement for such amounts until after the O&M Escrow has been funded.
- d. In the event that EPA is required to pay for obligations of the City
 that are not reimbursed under this Consent Decree or that exceed the limits of the escrows

established pursuant to this Consent Decree, the City shall reimburse EPA for such costs.

e. The City shall make all payments required by this Paragraph by a check made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund," referencing the name and address of the party making the payment, EPA Site/Spill ID Number 09J5, and DOJ Case Number 90-11-3-06902/1. The City shall send the check(s) to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, Attn: Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 360863M Pittsburgh, PA 15251 Attn: David Wood

Amounts paid to EPA in accordance with this Paragraph shall be deposited into the Future

Cost Account and shall be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in

connection with the Site, or transferred by EPA to the EPA Hazardous Substance

Superfund.

- 63. At the time of payment, the City shall send notice that payment has been made to the United States, to EPA and to the Regional Financial Management Officer, in accordance with Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions).
- 64. Amounts paid to EPA by the City in accordance with this Section shall be deposited into the Future Cost Account and shall be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Site, or transferred by EPA to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.
- 65. The City shall reimburse DTSC for all Oversight Costs not inconsistent with the NCP up to \$900,000 (nine hundred thousand dollars). DTSC will send the City a bill requiring payment that includes a standard DTSC-prepared cost summary that

includes direct and indirect costs incurred by DTSC and its contractors for Oversight Costs on a periodic basis. The City shall make all payments within 90 (ninety) days of the City's receipt of each bill requiring payment, except as otherwise provided in Paragraphs 66 and 109. All payments to DTSC required by this Consent Decree shall be made by check, payable to Cashier, Department of Toxic Substances Control, and accompanied by a transmittal letter referencing the Newmark Superfund Site, Project Code No. 400259, and shall be forwarded to:

Cashier, Department of Toxic Substances Control 1001 "I" Street, 4th Floor P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, California 95814-0806

Costs if the City determines that the United States or DTSC has made an accounting error, if the applicable cap on Oversight Costs has been exceeded, or if the City alleges that a cost item that is included represents costs that are inconsistent with the NCP, or with respect to DTSC costs, other applicable state law. The City may request the underlying documentation only for charges incurred by DTSC, provided that such request shall not be cause for the City to delay payment to the United States or DTSC or to delay initiation of the dispute resolution procedures and satisfaction of the other requirements described in this Paragraph. Any objection shall be made in writing within 30 (thirty) days of receipt of the bill and must be sent to the United States (if the United States' accounting is being disputed) or DTSC (if DTSC's accounting is being disputed) pursuant to Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions). Any such objection shall specifically identify the contested Oversight Costs or Extraordinary Costs and the basis for objection. In the event of an

Consent Decree

•	
	1
	2
,	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	.3 ′:
1	4
1	.5
	6
	7
	8
1	9
	0
2	1
	2
	23
2	24
	25
	26
	27
2	8

objection, the City shall within the 30 (thirty) day period pay all uncontested Oversight and Extraordinary Costs to the United States or DTSC in the manner described in Paragraphs 62.e and 65. Simultaneously, the City shall establish an interest-bearing escrow account in a federally-insured bank duly chartered in the State of California and remit to that escrow account funds equivalent to the amount of the contested Oversight Costs or Extraordinary Costs. The City shall send to the United States, as provided in Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions), and DTSC a copy of the transmittal letter and check paying the uncontested Oversight Costs or Extraordinary Response Costs, and a copy of the correspondence that establishes and funds the escrow account, including, but not limited to, information containing the identity of the bank and bank account under which the escrow account is established as well as a bank statement showing the initial balance of the escrow account. Simultaneously with establishment of the escrow account, the City shall initiate the dispute resolution procedures in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution). If the United States or DTSC prevails in the dispute, within 10 (ten) days of the resolution of the dispute, the City shall pay the sums due (with accrued interest) to the United States, if the United States costs are disputed, or DTSC, if DTSC costs are disputed, in the manner described in Paragraph 62.e or 65. If the City prevails concerning any aspect of the contested costs, the City shall pay that portion of the costs (plus associated accrued interest) for which it did not prevail to the United States, if the United States costs are disputed, or DTSC, if DTSC costs are disputed, in the manner described in Paragraph 62.e or 65; the City shall be disbursed any balance of the escrow account. The dispute resolution procedures set forth in this Paragraph in conjunction with the procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute

Consent Decree

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

Resolution) shall be the exclusive mechanisms for resolving disputes regarding the City's obligation to reimburse the United States and DTSC for their Oversight or Extraordinary Costs.

In the event that the payments required by Paragraphs 62.e and 65 are not made within 60 (sixty) and 90 (ninety) days, respectively, of the City's receipt of the bill, the City shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance, except that the City shall not be obliged to pay Interest with respect to any costs which it contested and with respect to which it has prevailed pursuant to the preceding Paragraph and the Dispute Resolution procedures under this Consent Decree. The Interest to be paid on costs under this Paragraph shall begin to accrue on the date of the bill. The Interest shall accrue through the date of the City's payment. Payments of Interest made under this Paragraph shall be in addition to such other remedies or sanctions available to the United States and DTSC by virtue of the City's failure to make timely payments under this Section including, but not limited to, payment of stipulated penalties. The City shall make all payments required by this Paragraph in the manner described in Paragraphs 62.e and 65.

- 68. Intentionally Left Blank.
- 69, Intentionally Left Blank.
- 70. Intentionally Left Blank.
- 71. Intentionally Left Blank.
- Intentionally Left Blank.. 72.
- 73. Intentionally Left Blank..

XVII. INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE.

74. The City's Indemnification of the United States and DTSC.

a. The United States and DTSC do not assume any liability by entering
into this agreement or by virtue of any designation of the City as EPA's or DTSC's
authorized representatives under Section 104(e) of CERCLA. With respect to the Work
for which the City is responsible, the City shall indemnify, save and hold harmless the
United States, DTSC, and their officials, agents, employees, contractors, subcontractors, or
representatives for or from any and all claims or causes of action arising from, or on
account of, negligent or other wrongful acts or omissions of the City, as applicable, its
officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting
on their behalf or under their control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent
Decree, including, but not limited to, any claims arising from any designation of the City
as EPA's or DTSC's authorized representatives under Section 104(e) of CERCLA.
Further, the City agrees to pay the United States as Extraordinary Costs and DTSC as costs
not included in State Oversight Costs all costs they incur including, but not limited to,
attorneys fees and other expenses of litigation and settlement arising from, or on account
of, claims made against the United States or DTSC based on negligent or other wrongful
acts or omissions of the City, its officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors,
subcontractors, and any persons acting on its behalf or under their control, in carrying out
activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. Neither the United States nor DTSC shall be
held out as a party to any contract entered into by or on behalf of the City in carrying out
activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. The City and its contractors shall not be

14.

The United States and DTSC do not assume any liability for the b. groundwater management and permitting program described in Paragraphs 27-30. The City shall defend, indemnify, save and hold harmless the United States and DTSC against all claims or causes of action arising from or on account of the acts or omissions of the City, as applicable, its officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on their behalf or under their control, in carrying out the groundwater management and permitting program, including but not limited to claims that the programs or Groundwater Model are illegal, invalid, or constitute a taking of property without just compensation. The City's obligation to indemnify the United States pursuant to this Paragraph 74.b shall be limited to \$ 12,000,000 (twelve million dollars) NPV on the date of entry of this Consent Decree. The City's obligation to indemnify DTSC pursuant to this Paragraph 74.b shall be limited to \$12,000,000 (twelve million dollars). The United States and DTSC shall cooperate in the defense of any such claim, and shall bear their own intramural costs of such cooperation (e.g., EPA and DOJ employee compensation and related costs), and in the case of DTSC, California Department of Justice intramural costs, but the City shall bear any other costs of defending the United States and DTSC against any such claim or cause of action.

24

25

26

27

28 Consent Decree

indemnification pursuant to Paragraph 74, and shall consult with the City prior to settling

such claim. The United States and DTSC also shall notify each other promptly of such

prompt notice of any claim for which the United States or DTSC plans to seek

The United States or DTSC, as applicable, shall give the City

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

Consent Decree

claims. DTSC shall give the City the opportunity to assist in the defense of any such claim at the City's expense. At the request of the United States or DTSC, the City at its own expense shall undertake the defense and/or shall cooperate with the United States in the defense of any such claim.

- 75. The City waives all claims against the United States and DTSC for damages or reimbursement or for set-off of any payments made or to be made to the United States or DTSC, arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between the City, the United States or DTSC and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays. In addition, the City shall indemnify and hold harmless the United States and DTSC with respect to any and all claims for damages or reimbursement arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between the City and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays.
- 76. Subject to its obligations under this Consent Decree, including but not limited to under Paragraph 62, the City reserves all rights under the Cooperative Agreement with the United States and under agreements with DTSC related to this Site for reimbursement, indemnity, or other rights for work the City has undertaken pursuant to the Cooperative Agreement or agreements with DTSC (1) prior to receipt of funds in the O&M Escrow to cover current O&M obligations of the City under this Consent Decree, excluding costs that are the sole responsibility of the City under this Consent Decree, and (2) prior to receipt of funds in the Construction Escrow to cover construction obligations

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

77. No later than 15 (fifteen) days before commencing any on-site Work, the City shall secure, and shall maintain until the first anniversary of EPA's final Certification of Completion of the Work pursuant to Subparagraph 57.d of Section XIV (Certification or Notice of Completion) comprehensive general liability insurance with limits of \$20 million (twenty million dollars), combined single limit, naming the United States and DTSC as additional insureds. In addition, for the duration of this Consent Decree, the City shall satisfy, or shall ensure that its contractors or subcontractors satisfy, all applicable laws and regulations regarding the provision of worker's compensation insurance for all persons performing the Work on behalf of the City in furtherance of this Consent Decree. Prior to commencement of the Work under this Consent Decree, the City shall provide to EPA and the DTSC certificates of such insurance and a copy of each insurance policy. The City shall resubmit such certificates and copies of policies each year on the anniversary of the Effective Date. If the City demonstrates by evidence satisfactory to EPA that any contractor or subcontractor maintains insurance equivalent to that described above, or insurance covering the same risks but in a lesser amount, then, with respect to that contractor or subcontractor, the City need provide only that portion of the insurance described above which is not maintained by the contractor or subcontractor. As each phase of the Work is completed and EPA issues a Certification or Notice of Completion for that phase of the Work under Section XIV (Certification or Notice of Completion), the City may request to reduce the amount of insurance the City is required to maintain and 25 may reduce that amount with EPA approval.

27

XVIII. FORCE MAJEURE

2	78. "Force majeure," for purposes of this Consent Decree, is defined as any
3	event arising from causes beyond the control of the City, of any entity controlled by the
4 5	City, or any of its contractors, that delays or prevents the performance of any obligation
6	under this Consent Decree despite the City's best efforts to fulfill the obligation. The
7	requirement that the City exercise "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes using best
8	efforts to anticipate any potential force majeure event and best efforts to address the effects
9	of any potential force majeure event (1) as it is occurring and (2) following the potential
10	force majeure event, such that the delay is minimized to the greatest extent possible.
11 12	"Force Majeure" does not include financial inability to complete the Work, a failure to
13	attain the Performance Standards, or failure of the City to implement the groundwater
14	management program or the permit plan described in Paragraphs 27-30.
15	79. If any event occurs or has occurred that may delay the performance of any
16	obligation under this Consent Decree, whether or not caused by a force majeure event, the
17 18	City shall notify orally EPA's Project Coordinator or, in his or her absence, EPA's

Alternate Project Coordinator or, in the event both of EPA's designated representatives are unavailable, the Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region 9, and DTSC's Project Coordinator, within 2 (two) Working Days of when the City first knew that the event might cause a delay. Within 2 (two) Working Days thereafter, the City shall provide in writing to EPA and DTSC an explanation and description of the reasons for the delay; the anticipated duration of the delay; all actions taken or to be taken to prevent or minimize the delay; a schedule for implementation of any measures to be taken to prevent or

27

26

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mitigate the delay or the effect of the delay; the City's rationale for attributing such delay to a force majeure event if the City intends to assert such a claim; and a statement as to whether, in the opinion of the City, such event may cause or contribute to an endangerment to public health, welfare or the environment. The City shall include with any notice all available documentation supporting its claim that the delay was attributable to a force majeure. Failure to comply with the above requirements shall preclude the City from asserting any claim of force majeure for that event for the period of time of such failure to comply, and for any additional delay caused by such failure. The City shall be deemed to know of any circumstance of which the City, any entity controlled by the City, or the City's contractors knew or should have known.

- If EPA agrees that the delay or anticipated delay is attributable to a force 80. majeure event, the time for performance of the obligations under this Consent Decree that are affected by the force majeure event will be extended by EPA for such time as is necessary to complete those obligations. An extension of the time for performance of the obligations affected by the force majeure event shall not, of itself, extend the time for performance of any other obligation. If EPA does not agree that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a force majeure event, EPA will notify the City and DTSC in writing of its decision. If EPA agrees that the delay is attributable to a force majeure event, EPA will notify the City and DTSC in writing of the length of the extension, if any, for performance of the obligations affected by the force majeure event.
- 81. If the City elects to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), the City shall do so no later than 15 (fifteen) days after

receipt of EPA's notice. In any such proceeding, the City shall have the burden of demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a force majeure event, that the duration of the delay or the extension sought was or will be warranted under the circumstances, that best efforts were exercised to avoid and mitigate the effects of the delay, and that the City complied with the requirements of Paragraph 79, above. If the City carries this burden, the delay at issue shall be deemed not to be a violation by the City of the affected obligation of this Consent Decree identified to EPA and the Court.

XIX. <u>DISPUTE RESOLUTION</u>

- 82. Unless otherwise expressly provided for in this Consent Decree, the dispute resolution procedures of this Section shall be the City's exclusive mechanism to resolve disputes arising under or with respect to this Consent Decree. However, the procedures set forth in this Section shall not apply to actions by the United States or DTSC to enforce obligations of the City that have not been disputed in accordance with this Section. Nor shall the procedures set forth in this Section apply to any dispute between the United States and DTSC.
- 83. Any dispute between the City and EPA which arises under or with respect to this Consent Decree shall in the first instance be the subject of informal negotiations between the City and EPA. The period for informal negotiations shall not exceed 20 (twenty) days from the time the dispute arises, unless it is modified by written agreement of the Parties to the dispute. The dispute shall be considered to have arisen when one Party sends the other Party a written Notice of Dispute.

a. In the event that the City and EPA cannot resolve a dispute by informal negotiations under this Paragraph, then the position advanced by EPA shall be considered binding unless, within 30 (thirty) days after the conclusion of the informal negotiation period, the City invokes the formal dispute resolution procedures of this Section by serving on EPA a written Statement of Position on the matter in dispute, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis or opinion supporting that position and any supporting documentation relied upon by the City. The Statement of Position shall specify the City's position as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 84 or Paragraph 85.

b. Within 15 (fifteen) working days after receipt of the City's

Statement of Position, EPA will serve on the City its Statement of Position, including, but
not limited to, any factual data, analysis, or opinion supporting that position and all
supporting documentation relied upon by EPA. EPA's Statement of Position shall include
a statement as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 84 or

85. Within 5 (five) Working Days after receipt of EPA's Statement of Position, the City
may submit a Reply.

c. If there is disagreement between EPA and the City as to whether dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 84 or 85, EPA and the City shall follow the procedures set forth in the paragraph determined by EPA to be applicable. However, if the City ultimately appeals to the Court to resolve the dispute, the Court shall determine which Paragraph is applicable in accordance with the standards of applicability set forth in Paragraphs 84 and 85.

- 84. Formal dispute resolution for disputes between the City and EPA pertaining to the selection or adequacy of any response action and all other disputes that are accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Paragraph. For purposes of this Paragraph, the adequacy of any response action includes, without limitation: (1) the adequacy or appropriateness of plans, procedures to implement plans, or any other items requiring approval by EPA or DTSC under this Consent Decree; and (2) the adequacy of the performance of response actions taken pursuant to this Consent Decree. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to allow any dispute by the City regarding the validity of the RODs' provisions.
- a. An administrative record of the dispute shall be maintained by EPA, and shall contain all Statements of Position, including supporting documentation, submitted pursuant to this Section. Where appropriate, EPA may allow submission of supplemental Statements of Position.
- b. The Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region 9, will issue a final administrative decision resolving the dispute based on the administrative record described in Paragraph 84.a. This decision shall be binding upon the affected Parties, subject only to the right to seek judicial review pursuant to Paragraph 84.c and 85.a.
- c. Any administrative decision made by EPA pursuant to

 Paragraph 84.b shall be reviewable by this Court, provided that a motion for judicial
 review of the decision is filed by the City with the Court and served on EPA within 15

 (fifteen) days of receipt of EPA's decision. The motion shall include a description of the

Consent Decree

Consent Decree

matter in dispute, the efforts made by the Parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of this Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to the City's motion within 30 (thirty) days of such motion.

- d. In proceedings on any dispute governed by this Paragraph, the City shall have the burden of demonstrating that the decision of the Superfund Division Director is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise not in accordance with law. Judicial review of EPA's decision shall be on the administrative record compiled pursuant to Paragraph 84.a.
- 85. Formal dispute resolution for disputes between the City and EPA that neither pertain to the selection or adequacy of any response action, nor are otherwise accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law, shall be governed by this Paragraph.
- a. Following receipt of the City's Statement of Position submitted pursuant to Paragraph 83, the Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region 9, will issue a final decision resolving the dispute. The Superfund Division Director's decision shall be binding on the City unless, within 15 (fifteen) days of receipt of the decision, the City files with the Court and serves on EPA a motion for judicial review of the decision setting forth the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the Parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of the Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to the City's motion within 30 (thirty) days of such motion. DTSC shall have the opportunity

to provide statements of position and to respond to any City motion in any dispute between the City and EPA.

- b. Notwithstanding Paragraph P of Section I (Background) of this Consent Decree, judicial review of any dispute governed by this Paragraph shall be governed by applicable principles of law.
- 86. Disputes that arise under this Consent Decree between the City and DTSC relating to DTSC's decisions or the Work performed pursuant to DTSC acting as the Lead Oversight Agency shall be resolved in accordance with this Paragraph. The City and DTSC agree to use their best efforts to resolve all disputes informally. The City and DTSC agree that the procedures contained in this Paragraph are the required administrative procedures for resolving disputes arising under this Consent Decree. If the City fails to follow the procedures contained in this Paragraph, it shall have waived its right to further contest the disputed issue. The City reserves its legal rights to contest or defend against any final decision rendered by DTSC under this Paragraph. Disputes regarding DTSC billings or payment of Oversight Costs shall follow the procedures set forth in Paragraph 66 and Paragraph 86.c.
- a. The City shall first seek resolution with DTSC's assigned project manager and unit chief by providing a written statement of the dispute. If the issue is not resolved after review by the unit chief, the City shall seek resolution with the DTSC branch chief by presenting in a letter the issues in dispute, the legal or other basis for the City's position, and the remedy sought. The branch chief shall issue a written decision with an explanation for the decision within thirty (30) business days after receipt of the

b. If the City disagrees with the branch chief's decision, the City may appeal to the Statewide Cleanup Operations Division Chief. To appeal to the division chief, the City shall prepare a letter stating the reasons why the branch chief's decision is not acceptable. Attached to the letter shall be (i) the City's original statement of dispute, (ii) supporting documents, and (iii) copies of any responses prepared by the project manager, unit chief, and branch chief. This letter and attachments shall be sent to the division chief within ten (10) business days from the date of the City's receipt of the branch chief's response. The division chief or designee shall review the City's letter and supporting documents, consider the issues raised and render a written decision to the City within thirty (30) business days of receipt of the City's letter. The decision of the division chief, or designee, shall constitute DTSC's administrative decision on the issues in dispute.

c. If the City contests payment of any Oversight Costs to DTSC, it shall comply with the procedures set forth in Paragraph 66. Prior to requesting formal dispute resolution, the City shall notify DTSC's assigned project manager and attempt to informally resolve the dispute with DTSC's project manager and branch chief. The written request for dispute resolution shall describe all issues in dispute and shall set forth the reasons for the dispute, both factual and legal. All notices and submissions required pursuant to Paragraph 66 and the written request for dispute resolution shall be sent to:

Special Assistant for Cost Recovery and Reimbursement Policy Department of Toxic Substances Control P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, CA 95812-0806

Copies of those notices and submissions and of the written request for dispute resolution shall also be sent to those persons designated by DTSC to receive notices and submissions in Section XXVI of this Consent Decree. A decision on the billing dispute will be rendered by the Special Assistant for Cost Recovery and Reimbursement Policy or other DTSC designee.

- d. The decision by the division chief under subparagraph b. or the Special Assistant for Cost Recovery and Reimbursement Policy under subparagraph c. shall be binding on the City unless, within 15 (fifteen) days of the receipt of the decision, the City files with the court and serves on DTSC a motion for judicial review of the decision setting forth the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the Parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of the Consent Decree. DTSC may file a response within 30 (thirty) days of such motion. The United States shall have the opportunity to provide statements of position and to respond to any City motion.
- 87. The invocation of formal dispute resolution procedures under this Section shall not extend, postpone or affect in any way any obligation of the City under this Consent Decree, not directly in dispute, unless either EPA or DTSC, as applicable, or the Court agrees otherwise. Stipulated penalties with respect to the disputed matter shall continue to accrue but payment shall be stayed pending resolution of the dispute as provided in Paragraph 98. Notwithstanding the stay of payment, stipulated penalties shall accrue from the first day of noncompliance with any applicable provision of this Consent Decree. In the event that the City does not prevail on the disputed issue, stipulated

XX. STIPULATED PENALTIES

88. The City shall be liable for stipulated penalties in the amounts set forth in this Section to the United States and DTSC for the City's failure to comply with the requirements of this Consent Decree as specified below, unless excused under Section XVIII (Force Majeure). "Compliance" by the City shall include completion of the activities under this Consent Decree or any work plan or other plan approved under this Consent Decree or, after the date of entry of this Consent Decree, construction of the Muscoy extraction system begun under the Cooperative Agreement, identified below, in accordance with all applicable requirements of law, this Consent Decree, the SOW, and any plans or other documents approved pursuant to this Consent Decree and within the specified time schedules established by and approved under this Consent Decree.

89. Stipulated Penalty Amounts - Work.

a. The following stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per day for any noncompliance identified in Subparagraph 89.b:

Penalty	Per Violation Per Day	Period of Noncompliance
	\$ 1500	1st through 14th day
	\$ 2500	15th through 30th day
•	\$ 10,000	31st day and beyond

b. Compliance Milestones. The Compliance Milestones include both the timely and adequate submittal (as defined in Section XI, Approval of Plans and Other Submissions) of, and compliance with the following documents and requirements:

1	(1) O&M Plans		
2	(2) Health & Safety Plan		
3	(3) Operational Sampling and Analysis Plan		
4	(4) Contingency Plan		
5	(5) Time Line and Schedule		
6	(6) Pre-Certification Inspection and Report		
7			
8	(7) O&M Completion Report		
9	(8) Statement of Position on De-commissioning		
10	90. Stipulated Penalty Amounts - Reports.		
11	a. The following stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per day		
12			
13	for failure to submit timely or adequate reports, including but not limited to Progress		
14	Reports and Quarterly Quality Assurance Reports, or other written documents pursuant to		
15	this Consent Decree, other than the Compliance Milestones:		
16	Penalty Per Violation Per Day Period of Noncompliance		
17	\$ 1000 1st through 14th day		
18			
19	\$ 2500 15th through 30th day		
20	\$ 5000 31st day and beyond		
21	91. <u>Stipulated Penalty Amounts – Access, Institutional Controls.</u> For violation		
22	of any obligation of Section IX (Access/Institutional Controls) or Paragraphs 27-30 of this		
23	Consent Decree, stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per day in the amount of:		
24			
25	Penalty Per Violation Per Day Period of Noncompliance		
26	\$ 2500 1st through 14th day		
27			
28			
	Consent Decree -95-		

15th through 30th day

\$10,000

31st day and beyond

92. <u>Stipulated Penalty Amounts – Other Obligations of the Consent Decree.</u> For violation of any other obligation of this Consent Decree, stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per day in the amount of:

Penalty Per Violation Per Day		on Per Day	Period of Noncompliance	
	\$ 2500		1st through 14th day	
-	\$ 5000		15th through 30th day	
	\$ 10,000	• •	31st day and beyond	

- 93. In the event that EPA assumes performance of a portion or all of the Work being implemented by the City, pursuant to Paragraph 109 of Section XXI (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), the City shall be liable to EPA for a stipulated penalty in the amount of: \$6,000,000 (six million dollars) or 100% of the response costs incurred by EPA for such work, whichever is less. Such amount shall not be payable from the O&M Escrow, the Construction Escrow or reimbursed to the City from any special account. The City also shall reimburse EPA for all response costs incurred by EPA to perform a portion or all of the Work being implemented by the City. Payments to EPA shall be made in accordance with the procedures in Paragraph 62.e.
- 94. All penalties shall begin to accrue on the day after the complete performance is due or the day a violation occurs and shall continue to accrue through the final day of the correction of the noncompliance or completion of the activity. However, stipulated penalties shall not accrue: (1) with respect to a deficient submission under

Section XI (Approval of Plans and Other Submissions), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st (thirty-first) day after EPA's or DTSC's, as applicable, receipt of such submission until the date that EPA or DTSC, as applicable, notifies the City of any deficiency; (2) with respect to any proposed or actual action or change in work requirements or protocols that EPA determines constitutes a fundamental change to the requirements of this Consent Decree or the SOW, until EPA provides notice of such determination or disapproval; provided, however, that EPA is timely notified of the action or change in work requirements and protocols, including whether such action or change complies with the requirements of this Consent Decree or the SOW; (3) with respect to a decision by the Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region 9, or a decision by the Statewide Cleanup Operations Division Chief for DTSC under Paragraph 85.a or 86.b. of Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st (thirtyfirst) day after the date that the City's reply to EPA's or DTSC's, as applicable, Statement of Position is received until the date that the Director of the Superfund Division, EPA Region 9, or the Statewide Cleanup Operations Division Chief for DTSC, as applicable, issues a final decision regarding such dispute; or (4) with respect to judicial review by this Court of any dispute under Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st (thirty-first) day after the Court's receipt of the final submission regarding the dispute until the date that the Court issues a final decision regarding such dispute. Nothing herein shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of separate penalties for separate violations of this Consent Decree.

95. Following the Lead Oversight Agency's determination that the City has

Consent Decree

failed to comply with a requirement of this Consent Decree, and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the Support Oversight Agency, the Lead Oversight Agency may give the City written notification of the same and describe the noncompliance. The Lead Oversight Agency may send the City a written demand for the payment of the penalties. However, penalties shall accrue as provided in the preceding Paragraph regardless of whether the Lead Oversight Agency has notified the City of a violation.

96. All penalties accruing under this Section shall be due and payable to the Lead Oversight Agency and the Support Oversight Agency, on a 50/50 basis, within 30 (thirty) days of the City's receipt from the Lead Oversight Agency of a demand for payment of the penalties, unless the City invokes the Dispute Resolution procedures under Section XIX (Dispute Resolution). All payments to the United States under this Section shall be paid by certified or cashier's check(s) made payable either to "EPA Hazardous" Substances Superfund," and shall be mailed to

> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, Attn: Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 371099M Pittsburgh, PA 15251 Attention: David Wood

The transmittal shall indicate that the payment is for stipulated penalties, and shall reference EPA Region 9, Site/Spill ID # 09J5, DOJ Case Number 90-11-3-06902/1 and the name and address of the City. Copies of check(s) paid pursuant to this Section, and any accompanying transmittal letter(s), shall be sent to the United States as provided in Section XXVI (Notices and Submissions).

Consent Decree

All payments to DTSC pursuant to this Paragraph shall be made in accordance with Paragraph 65 of this Consent Decree.

- 97. The payment of penalties shall not alter in any way the City's obligation to complete the performance of the Work required under this Consent Decree.
- 98. Penalties shall continue to accrue as provided in Paragraph 94 during any dispute resolution period, but need not be paid until the following:
- a. If the dispute is resolved by agreement or by a decision of EPA or DTSC, as applicable, that is not appealed to this Court, accrued penalties determined to be owing shall be paid to EPA and DTSC within 15 (fifteen) days of the agreement or the receipt of EPA's or DTSC's decision or order;
- b. If the dispute is appealed to this Court and the United States and/or DTSC prevails in whole or in part, the City shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the Court to be owed to EPA and DTSC within 60 (sixty) days of receipt of the Court's decision or order, except as provided in Subparagraph c below;
- c. If the Court's decision is appealed by the City, the City shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the Court to be owing to the United States and DTSC into an interest-bearing escrow account within 60 (sixty) days of receipt of the Court's decision or order. Penalties shall be paid into this account as they continue to accrue, at least every 60 (sixty) days. Within 15 (fifteen) days of receipt of the final appellate court decision, the escrow agent shall pay the balance of the account to EPA and DTSC, or to the City to the extent that they prevail.
 - 99. If the City fails to pay stipulated penalties when due, EPA and/or DTSC

may institute proceedings to collect the penalties, as well as Interest. The City shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance, which shall begin to accrue on the date of demand made pursuant to Paragraph 95.

- 100. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as prohibiting, altering, or in any way limiting the ability of the United States or DTSC to seek any other remedies or sanctions available by virtue of the City's violation of this Decree or of the statutes and regulations upon which it is based, including, but not limited to, penalties pursuant to Section 122(l) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(l). Provided, however, that the United States shall not seek civil penalties pursuant to Section 122(l) of CERCLA for any violation for which a stipulated penalty is collected hereunder, except in the case of a willful violation of the Consent Decree.
- 101. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, the United States or DTSC may, in their unreviewable discretion, waive any portion of stipulated penalties that have accrued to them pursuant to this Consent Decree.

XXI. COVENANTS BY THE UNITED STATES AND DTSC

102. a. In consideration of the actions that will be performed by the City and the payments that will be made by the City under the terms of the Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraph 106 of this Section, the United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against the City pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607(a), with respect to the Work, and the work performed under the Cooperative Agreement, subject to the United States' reservation of rights with respect to the Cooperative Agreement, and for recovery of Past U.S.

Response Costs and Future U.S. Response Costs. The United States also releases and covenants not to sue the City and DTSC for the costs of the litigation called City of San Bernardino Municipal Water Department vs. United States of America, Department of the Army, Civ. No. CV96-8867 MRP, and State of California v. United States of America, Department of the Army, Civ. No. 96-5205-MRP in this Court, including but not limited to attorneys' fees, expert witness fees and litigation support costs, incurred through the date upon which this Consent Decree is no longer subject to appeal, except to the extent such costs are expressly payable pursuant to this Consent Decree. These covenants not to sue as to the City and DTSC shall take effect upon entry of this Consent Decree. These covenants not to sue are conditioned with respect to the City upon the satisfactory performance by the City of its obligations under this Consent Decree. These covenants not to sue extend only to the City and DTSC, as appropriate, and do not extend to any other person.

b. In consideration of the actions that have been performed and the payments that will be made by the Settling Federal Agencies under the terms of this Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraph 106 of this Section, EPA covenants not to take administrative action against the Settling Federal Agencies pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607(a), with respect to the Work, and the work performed under the Cooperative Agreement, and for recovery of Past U.S. and Future U.S. Response Costs. EPA's covenant shall take effect upon the payments required by Paragraph 60 of Section XVI (Payments for Response Costs). EPA's covenant is conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by the Settling Federal Agencies of their obligations under this Consent Decree. EPA's covenant extends only to the Settling

1	Site, or
2	(2) the reimbursement of the United States for additional costs of
3	response
4	if, prior to certification that the Muscoy OU Interim Remedial Action is operational and
5	functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2), EPA determines that the Non-Routine
7	O&M that can be required under the SOW is not protective of human health or the
8	environment.
9	e. United States' Post-certification Reservations Unknown Conditions
10	or Information. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United
11	
12	States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute
13	proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to
14	compel
15	(1) the performance of further response actions relating to the
16	Site, or
17 18	(2) the reimbursement of the United States for additional costs of
19	response
20	if, subsequent to certification that the Muscoy OU Interim Remedial Action is operational
21	and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2):
22	(1) conditions at the Site, previously unknown to EPA, are
23	discovered, or information, previously unknown to EPA, is received, in whole or in part,
24,	and
25	(2) EPA determines that these previously unknown conditions or
26 27	(-) 2211 determined that these providusly difficient of
28	
20	Consent Decree -103-

this information together with other relevant information indicate that the Interim Remedial Actions, or any of them, are not protective of human health or the environment.

- f. United States' Post-certification Reservations -- Non-Routine O&M.

 Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel
- (1) the performance of further response actions relating to the Site, or
- (2) the reimbursement of the United States for additional costs of response

 if, subsequent to certification that the Muscoy OU Interim Remedial Action is operational

and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2), EPA determines that the Non-Routine O&M that can be required under the SOW is not protective of human health or the environment.

g. For purposes of Paragraph 102(c), the information and the conditions known to EPA shall include only (i) that information and those conditions known to EPA as of the dates the RODs were signed and set forth in the Records of Decisions for the Newmark and Muscoy OUs and the administrative records supporting the Records of Decision; and (ii) information required to be provided by the City under the Cooperative Agreements up to the date that EPA certifies that the Muscoy Operable Unit is operational and functional. For purposes of Paragraph 102(e), the information and the conditions known to EPA shall include only (i) the information and conditions listed for Paragraph

8

9 10

11. 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

102(c); and (ii) that information and those conditions known to EPA as of the date of certification that the Muscoy OU Interim Remedial Action is operational and functional pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(f)(2) and set forth in the Records of Decision, the administrative records supporting the Records of Decision, the post-ROD administrative records, or in any information received by EPA pursuant to the requirements of this Consent Decree prior to such certification.

- 103. In consideration of the City's actions and its obligations under this Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraph 108 (General Reservations of Rights by DTSC), DTSC covenants not to sue or take administrative action against the City pursuant to Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6973, or any State counterparts of these statutes, or to seek any natural resource damages relating to the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site. These covenants will take effect upon entry of this Consent Decree. These covenants not to sue are conditioned upon satisfactory performance of the City's obligations under this Consent Decree. These covenants not to sue extend only to the City, and not to any other person.
- 104. In consideration of the actions that have been performed and the payments that will be made by the Settling Federal Agencies and the City under the terms of the Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraph 108 of this Section, DTSC covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against the City and the United States pursuant to Sections 107(a) and 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607(a), 9613, California Health & Safety Code § 25360 or any other State law counterparts of these

statutes, with respect to the Newmark and Muscov OUs, the Work, the work performed under the Cooperative Agreement, recovery of DTSC Past Response Costs, DTSC Future Response Costs, DTSC Oversight Costs, any past State order related to the groundwater contamination at the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, and natural resource damages, including the reasonable costs of assessing any injury, destruction or loss of natural resources. DTSC also releases and covenants not to sue the City and the United States for the costs of the litigation called State of California v. United States of America, Department of the Army, Civ. No. 96-5205-MRP in this Court, including but not limited to attorneys' fees, expert witness fees and litigation support costs. These covenants not to sue shall take effect upon the Effective Date of this Consent Decree. These covenants not to sue are conditioned with respect to the applicable Parties upon the satisfactory performance by the respective Parties of their obligations under this Consent Decree. These covenants not to sue extend only to the United States and the City and do not extend to any other person. Upon approval by the Court of this Consent Decree, the natural resource damages claims pled in DTSC's complaint shall be dismissed with prejudice.

105. Covenant by the Settling Federal Agencies. The Settling Federal Agencies hereby agree not to assert any direct or indirect claim with respect to the Site for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, 113, or any other provision of law, for Past U.S. Response Costs and Future U.S. Response Costs as defined herein, with respect to the Work, and the work performed under the Cooperative Agreement, or this Consent Decree, or any claims for costs of litigation in

Consent Decree

the action called City of San Bernardino Municipal Water Department v. United States,

Department of the Army, Civ. No. CV96-8867 MRP in this Court, and the action called

State of California v. United States of America, Department of the Army, Civ. No. 96-5205
MRP in this Court, including but not limited to attorneys' fees, expert witness fees and

litigation support costs through the date upon which this Consent Decree is no longer subject to appeal.

- 106. General Reservations of Rights by the United States. The United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against the City (and with respect to EPA, all rights against the Settling Federal Agencies) with respect to all matters not expressly included within the United States' covenant not to sue.

 Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States reserves all rights against the City (and with respect to EPA, all rights against the Settling Federal Agencies) with respect to:
- a. claims based on a failure to meet a requirement of this Consent Decree;
- b. liability based upon the Party's transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal, or the arrangement for the transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal of Waste Material at or in connection with the Site, other than as provided in the RODs, the ESD, the Work, the SOW, or otherwise ordered by EPA, after signature of this Consent Decree by such Party;
- c. liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources_under federal trusteeship at the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund

1	Site, including the cost of assessing such injury, destruction or loss;
2	d. criminal liability;
3	e. liability for violations of federal or state law which occur during or
4 5	after implementation of the Interim Remedial Actions;
6	f. liability, prior to the final Certification or Notice of Completion of
7	the Work, for additional response actions that EPA determines are necessary to achieve
8	Performance Standards, but that cannot be required pursuant to Paragraph 17 (Modification
9	of the SOW or Related Work Plans);
10	g. liability for additional work at the Newmark or Muscoy OU that is
11	not required pursuant to this Consent Decree, for additional operable units or the final
12 13	response action at the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site;
14	h. liability for costs incurred or to be incurred by the Agency for Toxic
15	Substances and Disease Registry related to the Site that are not included in United States
16	Past Costs;
17	i. liability for costs that the United States will incur related to the Site
18 19	but that are not within the definition of Past U.S. Response Costs or Future U.S. Response
20	Costs;
21	j. liability of the City to reimburse EPA for disbursements under the
22	Cooperative Agreement for Work covered by this Consent Decree in the event the same
23	Work is also reimbursable under this Consent Decree;
24	k. liability of the City for United States Future Response Costs, if any,
25 26	incurred after the earliest of the time periods described in Paragraph 14.a applicable to the
27	
28	
	Congent Degree 108

costs in question, provided, however, that such costs shall first be offset by the amount of any disbursement under Paragraph 60.a.2 or 60.a.5; and

- l. liability arising from the past, present, or future arrangement for disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste materials outside of the Site.
- 107. The Settling Federal Agencies reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to
- a. claims against DTSC for money damages for injury or loss of property or personal injury or death caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of any employee of DTSC while acting within the scope of his office or employment under circumstances where DTSC, if a private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the place where the act or omission occurred. However, any such claim shall not include a claim for any damages caused, in whole or in part, by the act or omission of any person, including any contractor, who is not a state employee as provided in comparable state law to 28 U.S.C. § 2671, nor shall any such claim include a claim based on EPA's or the DTSC's selection of response actions, or the oversight or approval of any Party's plans or activities; and
- b. contribution claims against DTSC in the event any claim is asserted by DTSC against the City or the Settling Federal Agencies, but only to the same extent and for the same matters, transactions or occurrences as are raised in the claim of DTSC against the City or the Settling Federal Agencies.
- 108. General Reservations of Rights by DTSC. The covenants not to sue set forth above do not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified in Paragraph

1	104, and except as specifically provided in this Paragraph. DTSC reserves, and this	
2	Consent Decree is without prejudice to DTSC's rights to pursue, other claims against the	
3	City and the Settling Federal Agencies, with respect to all other matters, including but not	
4	limited to, the following:	
5	a. claims that either the City or the Settling Federal Agencies have	
7	failed to meet a requirement of this Consent Decree;	
. 8	b. liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or	
9	threat of release of Waste Materials outside of the Site;	
10	c. liability for future disposal of Waste Material at the Site, other than	
11	as provided in the RODs, the ESD, the SOW, the Work, or otherwise ordered by EPA;	
12	provided however that the production, storage and distribution of treated water and the	
13 14	transmission of untreated water for treatment shall not under any circumstances give rise to	
15		
16	DTSC claims alleging City liability;	
17	d. criminal liability;	
18	e. liability for violations of federal or state law which occur during or	
19	after implementation of the Interim Remedial Actions;	
20	f. liability by Settling Federal Agencies, prior to Certification of	
21 22	Completion of the Work, for additional response actions that EPA determines are necessary	
23	to achieve Performance Standards, but that cannot be required pursuant to Paragraph 17	
24	(Modification of the SOW or Related Work Plans);	
25	g. liability by Settling Federal Agencies for additional work at the	
26	Newmark or Muscoy OU that is not required pursuant to this Consent Decree, for	
27		
28		

-110-

Consent Decree

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	* .
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
27	

additional operable units or the final response action at the Newmark Groundwater

Contamination Superfund Site, or for any additional costs of response which may be

required if (1) EPA makes a determination pursuant to Paragraph 102.d or 102.f that the

Non-Routine O&M that can be required under the SOW is not protective of human health

or the environment, or (2) EPA makes a determination pursuant to Paragraph 102.c or 102.e

that previously unknown conditions or information together with any other relevant

information indicates that the Interim Remedial Actions are not protective of human health

or the environment;

- h. liability by Settling Federal Agencies for costs that DTSC will incur related to the Site but that are not within the definition of DTSC Past Response Costs,

 DTSC Future Response Costs, Oversight Costs or natural resource damages; and
- i. liability for contamination at or emanating from other sites including
 but not limited to the Norton Air Force Base Superfund Site.
- implementation of any portion of the Work, is seriously or repeatedly deficient or late in its performance of the Work, or is implementing the Work in a manner that may cause an endangerment to human health or the environment, EPA may assume the performance of all or any portions of the Work as EPA determines necessary. The City may invoke the procedures set forth in Section XIX (Dispute Resolution), Paragraph 86.a, to dispute EPA's determination that takeover of the Work is warranted under this Paragraph. Costs incurred by EPA in performing the Work pursuant to this Paragraph shall be paid by the City as Extraordinary Costs within 30 (thirty) days of a demand by EPA, according to the

Consent Decree

28

-111-

-11

14.

Escrow. Subject to EPA's unreviewable discretion, EPA may determine, with DTSC's concurrence, that DTSC may take over the Work under the circumstances described in this Paragraph. In that event, DTSC costs incurred in performing the Work pursuant to this Paragraph shall be paid by the City to DTSC. Payments to DTSC in accordance with this Paragraph shall be made within 30 (thirty) days of a demand by DTSC according to the procedures in Paragraph 65, and may be made from the O&M Escrow. Such costs shall not be subject to any cap for recovery of DTSC or EPA Oversight Costs.

procedures set forth in Paragraph 62.e. Such payments may be made from the O&M

110. Lead Oversight Takeover

- (a) It is EPA's statutory obligation to ensure the successful completion of the Interim Remedies. If EPA determines that DTSC is not able to perform the Lead Oversight Agency functions in a timely and effective manner, EPA may resume the Lead Oversight Agency role until DTSC is able to resume that role. EPA shall meet and confer with DTSC before determining to resume the Lead Oversight Agency role; however, EPA's determination shall not be subject to dispute resolution. Such takeover shall be effective upon notice by EPA to DTSC and the City in accordance with Section XVII (Notices and Submissions).
- (b) In the event that EPA takes over as Lead Oversight Agency, DTSC shall not pay a stipulated penalty, but EPA shall be entitled to be paid its oversight costs by the City, for the additional work entailed in EPA's takeover of the work, notwithstanding whether DTSC has exhausted the funds available to be paid to it for oversight pursuant to Paragraph 65 of this Consent Decree. In no event shall DTSC be responsible for

reimbursing EPA for any oversight costs beyond what EPA is reimbursed by the City.

Payment to the United States shall be made pursuant to Paragraph 62.e.

- (c) If EPA subsequently determines that DTSC is able to perform the Lead Oversight Agency functions in a timely and effective manner, EPA may return the Lead Oversight Agency functions to DTSC, which shall be effective upon notice by EPA to DTSC and the City in accordance with Section XVII (Notices and Submissions).
- 111. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and DTSC retain all authority and reserve all rights to take any and all response actions authorized by law.

XXII. COVENANTS BY THE CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO

- 112. In consideration of DTSC's actions and its obligations under this Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraph 114 (Reservations of Rights by the City), the City covenants not to sue or take administrative action against DTSC pursuant to Sections 107 and/or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607, 9613, Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6973, and any State law counterparts of these statutes, or to seek any natural resource damages relating to the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site. These covenants not to sue shall take effect upon entry of this Consent Decree. These covenants not to sue extend only to DTSC and any successor agency and not to any other person.
- 113. In consideration of the actions that have been performed and the payments that will be made by the United States, and subject to the reservations in Paragraph 114, the City hereby covenants not to sue and agrees not to assert any claims or causes of action

Consent Decree

against the United States with respect to the Work, the work performed under the Cooperative Agreement, the work performed under any past State order related to the groundwater contamination at the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, or any obligation of the City under Paragraph 14 of this Consent Decree, City Past and City Future Response Costs as defined herein, any costs incurred by the City that have been or are reimbursed by any disbursement pursuant to Paragraph 60.a.2 or 60.a.5, and other past response actions or costs taken or incurred by the City, or this Consent Decree, no matter how such claims are pled or styled, including, but not limited to:

a. any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, 113, 42 U.S.C. § 9606(b)(2), 9607, 9611, 9612, 9613, or any other provision of law, but without prejudice to the City's rights under the Cooperative Agreement and this Consent Decree to be reimbursed for work it has conducted pursuant to the Cooperative Agreement for the Newmark and Muscoy OUs prior to the funding of the escrows under this Consent Decree;

- b. any claims against the United States under CERCLA Sections 107 or 113, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607, 9613, or state law, related to the Site or the Site-wide Monitoring;
- c. any claims arising out of response actions at or in connection with the Site or the Site-wide Monitoring, including any claims arising under the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, state law, the Tucker Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1491, the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, as amended, or at common law, arising out of or relating to past or future access to, imposition of deed restriction or easements, or

other restrictions on the use and enjoyment of property owned or controlled by the City;

- d. any claims for costs, fees or expenses incurred in this action (including claims arising under the Equal Access to Justice Act, as amended, 28 U.S.C. § 2412) or under any provision of state law, through the date upon which this Consent Decree is no longer subject to appeal;
- e. Any claims for costs, fees or expenses arising from or relating to the groundwater management and permitting program described in Paragraphs 27-30;
- f. any claims for costs of litigation in the action called *City of San*Bernardino Municipal Water Department v. United States, Department of the Army, Civ.

 No. CV96-8867 MRP in this Court, including but not limited to attorneys' fees, expert witness fees and litigation support costs, through the date upon which this Consent Decree is no longer subject to appeal; and
- g. any direct or indirect claim for disbursement from any special account established pursuant to this Consent Decree except as expressly provided for in this Consent Decree.
- 114. Reservation of Rights by the City. The City reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to
- a. claims against the United States, subject to the provisions of Chapter 171 of Title 28 of the United States Code, or DTSC, subject to comparable state law, for money damages for injury or loss of property or personal injury or death caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of any employee of the United States or DTSC while acting within the scope of his office or employment under circumstances where the United

States or DTSC, if a private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the place where the act or omission occurred. However, any such claim shall not include a claim for any damages caused, in whole or in part, by the act or omission of any person, including any contractor, who is not a federal employee as that term is defined in 28 U.S.C. § 2671; or a state employee as provided in comparable state law, nor shall any such claim include a claim based on EPA's or DTSC's selection of response actions, or the oversight or approval of any Party's plans or activities. With respect to the United States, the foregoing applies only to claims which are brought pursuant to any statute other than CERCLA and for which the waiver of sovereign immunity is found in a statute other than CERCLA;

- b. CERCLA claims and contribution claims against the Settling Federal Agencies in the event any claim is asserted by the United States or DTSC against the City or the Settling Federal Agencies, but only to the same extent and for the same matters, transactions or occurrences as are raised in the claim of the United States or DTSC against the City or the Settling Federal Agencies;
- c. City claims for reimbursement under the Cooperative Agreement, arising from costs incurred by the City prior to the funding of the O&M and Construction Escrows as set forth in Paragraph 60.a pursuant to this Consent Decree, except for costs that are the sole responsibility of the City under this Consent Decree or reimbursable to EPA under Paragraph 62.c;
- d. Claims against the Settling Federal Agencies for liability, prior to the final Certification or Notice of Completion of the Work, for additional response actions that

EPA determines are necessary to achieve the Performance Standards, but that cannot be required pursuant to Paragraph 17 (Modification of SOW or Related Work Plans);

- e. Liability for additional work at the Newmark or Muscoy OU that is not required pursuant to the Consent Decree, for additional operable units, or the final response action at the Newmark Groundwater Contamination superfund Site;
- f. City claims for relief arising from contamination at or emanating from other sites, including, but not limited to, the Norton Air Force Base Superfund Site;
- g. City claims for O&M costs and City Future Response Costs, if any, incurred after the earliest of the time periods described in Paragraph 14.a applicable to the costs in question, provided, however, that such costs shall first be offset by the amount of any disbursement under Paragraph 60.a.2 or 60.a.5; and
- h. City claims for liability for costs the City will incur related to the Site that are not within the definition of City Past Response Costs or City Future Response Costs.
- 115. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).
- 116. The City and the Settling Federal Agencies agree not to assert any claims and to waive all claims or causes of action that they may have for all matters relating to the Site, including for contribution, against any person where the person's liability to the City or Settling Federal Agencies with respect to the Site is based solely on having arranged for disposal or treatment, or for transport for disposal or treatment, of hazardous substances at

the Site, or having accepted for transport for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Site, if:

- a. any materials contributed by such person to the Site constituting

 Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) or Municipal Sewage Sludge (MSS) did not exceed 0.2% of
 the total volume of waste at the Site; and
- b. any materials contributed by such person to the Site containing hazardous substances, but not constituting MSW or MSS, did not exceed the greater of (i) 0.002% of the total volume of waste at the Site, or (ii) 110 gallons of liquid materials or 200 pounds of solid materials.
- any person meeting the above criteria if EPA has determined that the materials contributed to the Site by such person contributed or could contribute significantly to the costs of response at the Site. This waiver also shall not apply with respect to any defense, claim, or cause of action that the City, DTSC or the Settling Federal Agencies may have against any person if such person asserts a claim or cause of action relating to the Site against such Party.

XXIII. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

117. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Consent Decree. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this decree may have under applicable law. Except as provided in Paragraph 116, each Party expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to

Consent Decree

-118-

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

Consent Decree

contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each Party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a Party hereto.

The Parties agree, and by entering this Consent Decree this Court finds, that 118. the City and the Settling Federal Agencies are entitled, as of the Effective Date, to protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2), for matters addressed in this Consent Decree. Matters addressed in this Consent Decree are: (a) U.S., DTSC and City Past Response Costs; (b) U.S., DTSC and City Future Response Costs; (c) the cost of implementing the Work performed under this Consent Decree; (d) the work performed under the Cooperative Agreement or under any past State order related to the groundwater contamination at the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, (e) as to the City, all DTSC costs of any kind incurred at any time related to the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site unless otherwise reserved; (f) DTSC natural resource damages; and (g) the costs, including but not limited to attorneys' fees, expert witness fees and litigation support of the actions called City of San Bernardino Municipal Water Department v. United States, Department of the Army, Civ. No. CV96-8867 MRP, and State of California v. United States of America, Department of the Army, Civ. No. 96-5205-MRP in this Court, incurred through the date upon which this Consent Decree is no longer subject to appeal.

119. The City agrees that with respect to any suit brought by the City for matters related to this Consent Decree the City will notify the United States and DTSC in writing no later than 60 (sixty) days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim.

120. The City also agrees that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against it for matters related to this Consent Decree it will notify in writing the United States and DTSC within 10 (ten) days of service of the complaint on the City. In addition, the City shall notify the United States and DTSC within 10 (ten) days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment and within 10 (ten) days of receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial.

In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States or DTSC for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, the City shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section XXI (Covenants by the United States and DTSC). In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the City for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, the United States and DTSC shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenant not to sue set forth in

XXIV. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

122. The City and the Army shall provide to EPA and DTSC, upon request, copies of all non-privileged documents and information within their possession or control or that of their contractors or agents relating to activities at the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site or to the implementation of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, 8 9 receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information 10 related to the Work. The City and the Army shall also make available to EPA and DTSC, 11 for purposes of investigation, information gathering, or testimony, its employees, agents, or 12 representatives with knowledge of relevant facts concerning the performance of the Work. 13 In connection with this litigation and the negotiation of this Consent Decree, the City and 14 15 the Army have provided EPA and DTSC extensive documents relating to the Site and its 16 history. The City has also provided periodic reports and other information required under 17 the Cooperative Agreement. The City and the Army shall not be required to produce or 18 make available such previously provided information or documents a second time pursuant 19 to this Paragraph. Expert witnesses were retained by the City and the Army and much 20 21 privileged information was assembled in the course of the litigation. Privileged 22 information need not be submitted to EPA or DTSC, but any sampling data from the Site or 23 any other data referenced in this Paragraph, not previously submitted to EPA by the City or 24 the Army, shall be submitted to EPA within 60 (sixty) days after the Effective Date, and 25 also provided to DTSC. 26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

123. Business Confidential and Privileged Documents.

a. The City or Army may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the documents or information submitted to EPA and DTSC under this Consent Decree to the extent permitted by and in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Documents or information determined to be confidential by EPA will be afforded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies documents or information when they are submitted to EPA and DTSC, or if EPA has notified the City or Army that the documents or information are not confidential under the standards of Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, the public may be given access to such documents or information without further notice to the City.

b. The City or Army may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If the City or Army asserts such a privilege in lieu of providing documents, the City or Army shall provide EPA and DTSC with the following: (1) the title of the document, record, or information; (2) the date of the document, record, or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the contents of the document, record, or information: and (6) the privilege asserted by the City. However, no documents, reports or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of the Consent Decree or the Cooperative Agreement shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged. As against EPA and each other, the City or Army also

10

11 12

14

15

13

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

Consent Decree

shall not assert a claim of confidentiality or privilege with respect to any documents or information provided in the course of negotiation of this Consent Decree.

124. No claim of confidentiality shall be made with respect to any data, including, but not limited to, all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, or engineering data, or any other documents or information evidencing conditions at or around the Site.

XXV. RETENTION OF RECORDS

125. Until 10 (ten) years after the City's receipt of EPA's final notification pursuant to Paragraph 57.d of Section XIV (Certification or Notice of Completion of the Work), the City and the Army shall preserve and retain all records and documents now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to the performance of the Work or liability of any person for response actions conducted and to be conducted at the Site, regardless of any corporate or governmental retention policy to the contrary. Until 10 (ten) years after the City's and Army's receipt of EPA's final notification pursuant to Paragraph 57.d or 57.e of Section XIV (Certification or Notice of Completion), the City and Army shall also instruct their contractors and agents to preserve all documents, records, and information of whatever kind, nature or description relating to the performance of the Work. As part of five-year reviews, EPA may, in its unreviewable discretion, agree to examine any proposal to shorten the document retention requirements of this Consent Decree as they relate to the performance of the Work. EPA may, in its unreviewable discretion, in consultation with DTSC and the City or Army, as applicable, shorten the document retention period with respect to documents that no longer

serve a useful purpose in the performance of the Work or that will not be of value as permanent records of EPA. With respect to the two Protective Orders relating to the Site, one signed in December 1997 and the other signed in or about June 1999, and both attached as Appendix J to this Consent Decree, the Parties agree that the terms and conditions of the aforementioned Protective Orders relating to document retention are hereby superseded such that the conditions for document retention as embodied in this Consent Decree shall take precedence over the terms and conditions mentioned in the Protective Orders.

Furthermore, the Parties agree that although the June 1999 Protective Order places the burden of control of the City's documents on the City's attorneys, the City's attorneys may transfer the City's documents (collected pursuant to either the 1997 or the 1999 Protective Order) to the City for retention upon the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, and none of the Parties will contest this action.

- 126. The United States acknowledges that each Settling Federal Agency is subject to all applicable Federal record retention laws, regulations, and policies, including Army Regulation 25-400-2, Appendix B, FN: 27-40e.
- 127. At the conclusion of the document retention period in Paragraph 126, the City and the Army shall notify the EPA at least 90 (ninety) days prior to the destruction of any such records or documents, and, upon request by the EPA, the City or the Army shall deliver any such records or documents to EPA. The City may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If the City asserts such a privilege, the City shall provide EPA with the following: (1) the title of the document, record, or

⁴28

information; (2) the date of the document, record, or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the subject of the document, record, or information; and (6) the privilege asserted by the City. However, no documents, reports or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of the Consent Decree shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged.

128. The City, the Army and DTSC have exchanged extensive documents and information related to allegations of liability at this Site. The City, DTSC and Army certify that, to the best of their knowledge and belief, after thorough inquiry, they have complied in good faith with their discovery obligations under the applicable rules, and have complied in good faith with any EPA requests for information pursuant to Sections 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604(e) and 9622(e), and Section 3007 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6927, and did not knowingly alter, mutilate, discard, destroy or otherwise dispose of any records, documents or other information responsive to such discovery or information requests or otherwise relating to potential liability regarding the Site.

XXVI. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

129. Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, written notice is required to be given or a report or other document is required to be sent by one Party to another, it shall be sent to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing. All notices and submissions shall be considered effective upon receipt, unless otherwise provided. Written notice as specified herein shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice

1	requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, DTSC and the	
2	City.	
٦	As to the United States:	
3	Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section	
4	Environment and Natural Resources Division	
	U.S. Department of Justice	
5	P.O. Box 7611	
_	Washington, D.C. 20044-7611	
6	Re: DJ # 90-11-3-06902 /1	
7		
	Chief, Environmental Defense Section	
8	Environment and Natural Resources Division	
9	U.S. Department of Justice	
	P.O. Box 23986	
10	Washington, DC 20026-3986 Re: DJ 90-11-6-69	
7.7	Re. DJ 90-11-0-09	
11	Office of the United States Attorney	
12	Chief, Civil Division	
	Room 7516 Federal Building	
13	300 North Los Angeles Street	
14	Los Angeles, CA 90012	
17		
15	Director, Superfund Division	
	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	
16	Region 9	
17	75 Hawthorne Street, SFD	
	San Francisco, CA 94105	
18	As to EPA:	
19	Dr. Kim Hoang	
	EPA Project Coordinator Newmark Site	
20	United States Environmental Protection Agency	
21	Region 9	
Z T	75 Hawthorne Street, SFD-7-4	
22	San Francisco, CA 94105	
23	As to the Regional Financial Management Officer:	
24		
	Joseph Schmidt	
25	United States Environmental Protection Agency	
26	Region 9	
٠. ٠	75 Hawthorne Street, PMD-5	
27		
2.0		
28		

Consent Decree

-126-

1	San Francisco, CA 94105
2	
3	A DECC
	As to DTSC: Thomas Cota Chief, Southern California Cleanup Operations
4	Branch, Cypress Office
5	5796 Corporate Avenue
_	Cypress, CA 90630
6	
7	Dr. Yasser Aref
8	Department of Toxic Substances Control Project Manager
Ů	5796 Corporate Avenue
9	Cypress, CA 90630
10	
10.	Ann Rushton
11	Deputy Attorney General
12	Environment Section
12	California Department of Justice
13	300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90013
14	Los Aligeies, CA 70013
	As to the City:
15	Stacy Aldstadt
16	Deputy General Manager
٠.	City of San Bernardino Municipal Water Dept.
17	300 North D Street San Bernardino, CA 92418 [overnight delivery]
18	P.O. Box 710
	San Bernardino, CA 92402 [U.S. Mail]
19	
20	XXVII. <u>Effective Date</u>
0.7	
21	130. The effective date of this Consent Decree shall be the date upon which this
22	Consent Decree is entered by the Court, except as otherwise provided herein.
23	Consone Beered is entered by the Court, except as eater wise provided instance.
2.	XXVIII. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>
24	
25	131. This Court retains jurisdiction over both the subject matter of this Consent
	Degree and the Parties for the direction of the norfermance of the terms and previous of
26	Decree and the Parties for the duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of
27	
	u .

Consent Decree

28

-127-

this Consent Decree for the purpose of enabling any of the Parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or modification of this Consent Decree, or to effectuate or enforce compliance with its terms, or to resolve disputes in accordance with Section XIX (Dispute Resolution) hereof. However, nothing in this Consent Decree shall prevent EPA from taking any action in accordance with the National Contingency Plan.

XXIX. APPENDICES

- 132. The following appendices are attached to and incorporated into this Consent Decree:
 - "Appendix A" is the Newmark ROD.
 - "Appendix B" is the Muscoy ROD.
 - "Appendix C" is the description and/or map of the Site.
 - "Appendix D" is the SOW.
 - "Appendix E" is the proposed list of planned City treatment plants and transmission systems to expand the City's potable water delivery capacity.
 - "Appendix F" is the draft easement described in Section IX (Access/Institutional Controls).
- "Appendix G" is a draft of the San Bernardino Pollution Legal Liability Clean-up Cost Cap Insurance Policy selected by the City for the investment and/or retention of the O&M Escrow, Construction Escrow and any other funds disbursed to the City for the performance of the Work or other items funded by this Consent Decree.
 - "Appendix H" is the draft ordinance for the Permitting Program described in

Section IX (Access and Institutional Controls).

"Appendix I" is the ESD.

"Appendix J" consists of the two protective orders described in Paragraph 125.

XXX. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

133. The City shall propose to EPA its participation in the community relations plan that has been developed by EPA. EPA will determine the appropriate role for the City under the plan. The City shall also cooperate with EPA and DTSC in providing information regarding the Work to the public. As requested by EPA or DTSC, the City shall participate in the preparation of such information for dissemination to the public and in public meetings which may be held or sponsored by EPA or DTSC to explain activities at or relating to the Site.

XXXI. MODIFICATION

- 134. Schedules specified in this Consent Decree for completion of the Work may be modified by agreement of the Lead Oversight Agency, with reasonable opportunity to comment by the Support Agency, and the Parties. All such modifications shall be made in writing.
- Work Plans), no modifications shall be made to this Consent Decree and no material modifications shall be made to the SOW without written notification to and written approval of the United States, DTSC, the City and the Court. Such modifications shall be effective upon their approval by the Court. Modifications to the SOW that do not materially alter that document may be made by written agreement between the Lead

Oversight Agency and the City, with the concurrence of the Support Oversight Agency.

Prior to providing their approval to any such modification, EPA and DTSC will provide each other with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the proposed modification.

- 136. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to alter the Court's power to enforce, supervise or approve modifications to this Consent Decree.
- 137. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to limit EPA's authority to adopt additional Explanations of Significant Differences, to amend the RODs, to issue additional RODs for the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site, or to take any other action consistent with the NCP.

XXXII. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

- 138. This Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for a period of not less than 30 (thirty) days for public notice and comment in accordance with Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(d)(2), and 28 C.F.R. § 50.7. The United States reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent if the comments regarding the Consent Decree disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The other Parties consent to the entry of this Consent Decree without further notice.
- 139. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any Party and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation among any of the Parties.

XXXIII. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

1

2

3

- Each undersigned representative of the City, the Assistant Attorney General 140. for the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the Department of Justice, and the Deputy Attorney General for the California Department of Justice, certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such Party to this document.
- The City and State each hereby agree not to oppose entry of this Consent 141. Decree by this Court or to challenge any provision of this Consent Decree unless the United States has notified the other Parties to this Consent Decree in writing that it no longer supports entry of the Consent Decree.
- The City and State shall identify, on the attached signature page, the name, address and telephone number of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on behalf of that Party with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree. The City hereby agrees to accept service in that manner and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules of this Court, including, but not limited to, service of a summons.

XXXIV. FINAL JUDGMENT

Upon approval and entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, this Consent 143. Decree shall constitute a final judgment between and among the United States, DTSC and the City. The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and therefore enters this judgment as a final judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and 58.

1	SO ORDERED THIS DAY OF	, 2004.
2		
3		
4		United States District Judge
5		
6		
7	1 ·	
j		
8 9		
10		
11		
12	4	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	and the contract of the contra	
22	II .	
23	· •	
24		
25		
26	- 1 1	
	1	
27	ll l	
28		

Consent Decree

-132-

	THE IMPEDITINED DARTY enters in	to this Consent Decree relating to	
1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree relating to		
2	the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site.		
3			
4 5		FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
6	8.5.04		
7	Date	Thomas L. Sansonetti	
8		Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources	
9		Division	
		U.S. Department of Justice	
10		Washington, D.C. 20530	
11			
12	elclass		
13	0/5/09 Date	Cynthia S. Huber	
14	Date	Senior Attorney	
		General Litigation Section	
15		Environment and Natural Resources Division	
16		U.S. Department of Justice	
17		P.O. Box 663	
18		Washington, D.C. 20044-0663	
19			
	8/5/2-0	<u></u>	
20	8/5/2004 Date	Martin McDermott	
21	Date	Environmental Defense Section	
22		U.S. Department of Justice	
23		P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611	
24			
25			
26		·	
27			
28			
20			

Consent Decree

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree relating to the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site.

7-9-04 Date

Keith Takata
Director, Superfund Division, Region 9
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
75 Hawthorne St., SFD-1
San Francisco, CA 94105

8/9/04 Date

Marie M. Rongone
Senior Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 9
75 Hawthorne St., ORC-3
San Francisco, CA 94105

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree relating to the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL

7/2/04/ Date

Thomas Cóta, Chief Southern California Cleanup Operations Branch Cypress Office 5796 Corporate Avenue Cypress, CA 90630

July 21, 2004

Ann Rushton
Deputy Attorney General
Environment Section
California Department of Justice
300 South Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013

<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>

2	I hereby certify that on August 10, 2004, a true and accurate copy of the foregoing Notice	
3	of Lodging of Consent Decree was served on the following counsel:	
4		
5	Russell V. Randle By:	
6	Patton Boggs, L.L.P. 2550 M Street, N.W. _X_Federal Express _First Class U.S. Mail	
7	Washington, D.C. 20037-1350Hand Delivery	
8	202 107 0202	
9		
10	Thomas N. Jacobson By: Gresham, Savage, Nolan & Tilden X Federal Express	
11	3750 University Ave. First Class U.S. Mail Suite 250 Hand Delivery	
12	Riverside, CA 92501 951-684-2171	
13		
14	Ann Rushton By:	
15	Deputy Attorney General State of California — X_ Federal Express — First Class U.S. Mail	
16	Department of Justice Hand Delivery 300 South Spring St.	
17	Los Angeles, CA 90013-1204 213-897-2608	
18		
19		
20	Martin F. McDermott	
21		
22		

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree relating to the Newmark Groundwater Contamination Superfund Site.

FOR THE CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO 1

As of	
June 17 2004	Signature:
Date	Name (print):
	Title:
	Address: /
	·
Agent Authorized to Accept Service	e on Behalf of Above-signed Party:
	Signature
	Address:
	Name (print): Thomas N. Jacobson
	Title: Attorney Gresham, Savage, Nolan & Tilden
	Address: 3750 University Ave. Site 250
	Riverside CA 92501
	7,201
	Ph. Number:
	rn, rumocr.

EPA is requesting that the City provide this information so that the decree can be completed.

List of Appendices to the Consent Decree

Appendix A – Newmark ROD (copy included)

Appendix B – Muscoy ROD (copy included)

Appendix C – description and/or map of the Site – (copy included)

Appendix D – Statement of Work (copy included)

Appendix E – proposed list of planned City treatment plants and transmission systems to expand the City's potable water delivery capacity (copy included)

Appendix F – draft easement described in Section IX (Access/Institutional Controls) (copy included)

Appendix G – draft of the San Bernardino Pollution Legal Liability Clean-up Cost Cap Insurance Policy selected by the City for the investment and/or retention of the O&M Escrow, Construction Escrow and any other funds disbursed to the City for the performance of the Work or other items funded by this Consent Decree (currently being negotiated with AIG and the City; document to be provided by City)

Appendix H - draft ordinance for the Permitting Program described in Section IX (Access and Institutional Controls) (copy included)

Appendix I – Explanation of Significant Differences (not included; has not yet been signed by EPA)

Appendix J – protective orders described in Paragraph 125 (copies will be provided by City)